

# RDA and MARC 21 Development: MARC RDA Working Group Final Report and Wider Community Initiatives

4<sup>th</sup> May, 2023

Thurstan Young, British Library

#### Overview

#### MARC RDA Working Group:

- Charge
- Membership
- Focus
- Accomplishments 2019-2022
- Wider Community Engagement
- Learning points



## MARC RDA Working Group (Charge)

 Evaluate the scope and impact of the extensions to RDA arising from the RDA 2019 revision in relation to MARC 21



 Identify and prioritize possible changes to MARC 21 to support compatibility with the RDA extensions and ensure effective data exchange into the future

 Prepare discussion papers and proposals for the MARC Advisory Committee

## MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 1)



**RSC** 

Charlene Chou – New York University, Division of Libraries, New York (RSC Wider Community Engagement Officer)

**NDMSO** 

Sally McCallum – Network Development MARC Standards Office Chief, Library of Congress

## MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 2)

LIBRARY YSILING

MARC Steering Group

Thurstan Young – British Library

John Zagas – Library of Congress

Reinhold Heuvelmann – Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

Bill Leonard – Library and Archives Canada

Hong Cui – Library and Archives Canada

## MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 3)



Vendors

Wayne Schneider – Indexdata

Jay Weitz - OCLC

## MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 4)



Specialists

Catherine Gerhart – Audiovisual specialist (MAC Chair)

Susan Moore – Cartographic specialist (MAGIRT rep to MAC)

Karen Peters – Music specialist (MLA rep to MAC)

Tina Shrader – Serials specialist

Jackie Parascandola – Rare books and manuscripts specialist

## MARC RDA Working Group (Focus)

- New RDA entities, concepts and vocabularies encoding schemes
- Choice of format to implement change
- Granularity and consistency of change
- Feasibility and utility of change



### **New RDA Entities**

- RDA Entity
- Nomen
- Agent
- Collective Agent
- Place
- Timespan



## **New RDA Concepts**

- Manifestation Statements
- Representative Expressions
- Diachronic Works
- Data Provenance



## New RDA Vocabulary Encoding Schemes

LIBRARY HSILI'88

- RDA Carrier Extent Unit
- RDA Extension Plan
- RDA Mode of Issuance
- RDA Recording Sources
- RDA Type of Binding

bl.uk

# Existing RDA Vocabulary Encoding Schemes



- RDA Aspect Ratio Designation
- RDA Illustrative Content

RDA Sound Content

RDA Form of Musical Notation

## Choice of Format in MARC 21

- Authority?
- Bibliographic?
- Other?



## Granularity and Consistency in MARC 21

- Scope for granularity of coding :
  - record level?
  - field level?
- Scope for consistency of coding :
  - equivalent field by field?
  - equivalent format by format?



## Feasibility and Utility in MARC 21

- Possibility of change within :
  - format constraints? (i.e. available coding)
  - existing record models? (e.g. NACO, BIBCO, PN)
- Helpfulness of change to :
  - end users? (e.g. researchers, programmers, etc.)
  - collection management? (e.g. inventory control, workflow efficiency, etc.)



## MARC RDA Working Group (Progress 1)

- MARC Update 31 (December 2020)
  - Manifestation Statements: Field 881 (Bibliographic format)
  - Extension Plan: Field 335 (Bibliographic and Authority formats)
- MARC Updates 32 and 33 (June and December 2021)
  - Mode of Issuance: Field 334 (Bibliographic format)
  - Type of Binding: Field 340 \$I (Bibliographic format)



## MARC RDA Working Group (Progress 2)

- MARC Update 34 (June 2022)
  - Data provenance:
    - Subfield \$7 and others by exception (Bibliographic and Authority formats)
    - Code lists: Categories and Subfield relationships
  - Representative expression characteristics: Field 387 and others by exception (Bibliographic and Authority formats)
  - Scale: Change to Field 507 Definition and scope (Bibliographic format)



# Wider Community Engagement (Progress 1)

LIBRARY HSILINA

- MARC Update 31 (December 2020)
  - Aspect Ratio Designation: 345 \$d (Bibliographic format)
  - Illustrative Content: 340 \$p (Bibliographic format)
  - Key: 384 \$0 and \$1 (Bibliographic and Authority formats)
  - Gender: 375 \$0 and \$1 (Authority format)

# Wider Community Engagement (Progress 2)

LIBRARY HSILIYA

- MARC Update 32 (June 2021)
  - Sound Content: 344 \$i (Bibliographic format)
  - Form of Musical Notation : 348 \$c (Bibliographic format)
  - Supplementary Content : Field 353 (Bibliographic format)
  - Date of work / expression / manifestation : 046 Indicator 1 (Bibliographic format)

# Wider Community Engagement (Progress 3)

LIBRARY HSILINA

- MARC Update 34 (June 2022)
  - Reduction ratio designation : 340 \$q (Bibliographic format)
  - Agent relationships: 373 \$i / \$4 (Authority format)

## Outstanding Issues

- RDA Entity
- Nomen: further develop instructions (RSC Action Plan 2021-3)
- Agent
- Collective Agent: create a working group (RSC Action Plan 2021-3)
- Place: create a working group (RSC Action Plan 2021-3)
- Timespan: further develop instructions (RSC Action Plan 2021-3)

LIBRARY

## **Learning Points**

- New coding was not mandatory to the implementation of RDA
- RSC liaison was available to provide advice and assistance
- Feedback from MAC may contribute to future RDA developments
- RDA would not adapt to MARC 21 record structures if these broke its relationships to the LRM
- MRWG was not an open ended commitment, but further RDA-related changes may be proposed by MARC 21 constituencies in future

LIBRARY YANABI

## Further Reading and Contact Details



Library of Congress MARC/RDA Working Group Page:

https://www.loc.gov/marc/mac/MARC-RDA\_Working\_Group.html

MARC/RDA Working Group Final Report:

https://www.loc.gov/marc/mac/MARC%20RDA%20Working%20Group%20-%20Final%20Report.pdf

Thurstan Young, British Library: <a href="mailto:thurstan.young@bl.uk">thurstan.young@bl.uk</a>

bl.uk