

RDA and MARC 21 Development  
Report to EURIG Meeting,  
4<sup>th</sup> May, 2021

Thurstan Young,  
British Library

# Overview

## MARC RDA Working Group :

- Charge
- Schedule
- Membership
- Focus
- Progress
- Ongoing Work
- Wider Community Engagement
- Learning points

# MARC RDA Working Group (Charge)

- Evaluate the scope and impact of the extensions to RDA arising from the RDA 2019 revision in relation to MARC 21
- Identify and prioritize possible changes to MARC 21 to support compatibility with the RDA extensions and ensure effective data exchange into the future
- Prepare discussion papers and proposals for the MARC Advisory Committee

# MARC RDA Working Group (Schedule 1)

- **December 2019:** Preparation of initial discussion papers.
- **January 2020:** ALA Midwinter meeting, where discussion papers will be considered.
- **January – April 2020:** Working group meetings.
- **April – June 2020:** Preparation of proposals and discussion papers.
- **June 2020:** ALA Annual meeting; consideration of proposals and discussion papers.
- **July – October 2020:** Working group meetings.
- **November – December 2020:** preparation of remaining proposals.
- **January 2021:** ALA Midwinter meeting; consideration of proposals.

# MARC RDA Working Group (Schedule 2)

- **February – April 2021:** Working group meetings.
- **April – June 2021:** Preparation of proposals and discussion papers.
- **June 2021:** MAC Annual meeting; consideration of proposals and discussion papers.
- **August – October 2021:** Working group meetings.
- **November – December 2021:** preparation of remaining proposals.
- **January 2022:** MAC Midwinter meeting; consideration of proposals.

# MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 1)

RSC

Ebe Kartus – RSC liaison, Univ. of New England, New South Wales, Australia

NDMSO

Sally McCallum – NDMSO Chief, Library of Congress

# MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 2)

MARC Steering Group

Thurstan Young – British Library

John Zagas – Library of Congress

Reinhold Heuvelmann – Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

Bill Leonard – Library and Archives Canada

Hong Cui – Library and Archives Canada

# MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 3)

Vendors

Wayne Schneider – Indexdata

Jay Weitz - OCLC



# MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 4)

## Specialists

Matthew Wise – MARC Advisory Committee (MAC) Chair

Catherine Gerhart – Audiovisual specialist (OLAC rep to MAC)

Susan Moore – Cartographic specialist (MAGIRT rep to MAC)

Karen Peters – Music specialist (MLA rep to MAC)

Tina Shrader – Serials specialist

# MARC RDA Working Group (Membership 5)

Specialists (New)

Kalan Knudson Davis – Rare books and manuscripts specialist

# MARC RDA Working Group (Focus)

- New RDA entities, concepts and vocabularies encoding schemes
- Choice of format to implement change
- Granularity and consistency of change
- Feasibility and utility of change

# New RDA Entities

- RDA Entity
- Nomen
- Agent
- Collective Agent
- Place
- Timespan

# New RDA Concepts

- Manifestation Statements
- Representative Expressions
- Diachronic Works
- Data Provenance

# New RDA Vocabulary Encoding Schemes

- RDA Carrier Extent Unit
- RDA Extension Plan
- RDA Recording Sources
- RDA Type of Binding

# Existing RDA Vocabulary Encoding Schemes

- RDA Mode of Issuance
- RDA Aspect Ratio Designation
- RDA Illustrative Content
- RDA Sound Content
- RDA Form of Musical Notation

# Choice of Format in MARC 21

- Authority?
- Bibliographic?
- Other?



# Granularity and Consistency in MARC 21

- Scope for granularity of coding :
  - record level?
  - field level?
- Scope for consistency of coding :
  - equivalent field by field?
  - equivalent format by format?

# Feasibility and Utility in MARC 21

- Possibility of change within :
  - format constraints? (i.e. available coding)
  - existing record models? (e.g. NACO, BIBCO, PN)
- Helpfulness of change to :
  - end users? (e.g. researchers, programmers, etc.)
  - collection management? (e.g. inventory control, workflow efficiency, etc.)

# MARC RDA Working Group (Progress)

- MARC Update 31 (December 2020)
  - Manifestation Statements: Field 881 (Bibliographic format)
  - Extension Plan: Field 335 (Bibliographic and Authority formats)
- MARC Update 32 (Scheduled for June 2021)
  - Mode of Issuance: Field 334 (Bibliographic format)

# Manifestation Statement (881) Example

**881 ## \$c***CROSSING THE CHASM Marketing and Selling Disruptive Products to Mainstream Customers Geoffrey A. Moore***\$d**THIRD EDITION**\$f**HARPER BUSINESS An Imprint of HarperCollins Publishers 2014**\$i**Copyright © 1991, 1999, 2002, 2014 by Geoffrey A. Moore**\$e**Printed in the United States of America**\$a**Originally published in hardcover in 1991 by HarperBusiness, an imprint of HarperCollins Publishers.**\$b**ISBN: 978-0-06-229298-8**\$a**USA \$19.99**\$IA**  
BUSINESSWEEK BESTSELLER

# Extension Plan (335) Examples

**335**     *\$a static plan* \$2 rdaep

**335**     *\$a successive indeterminate plan* \$2 rdaep

**335**     *\$a successive determinate plan* \$2 rdaep

**335**     *\$a integrating indeterminate plan* \$2 rdaep

**335**     *\$a integrating determinate plan* \$2 rdaep

# Mode of Issuance (334) Examples

**334**     *\$a single unit* \$2 rdami\*

**334**     *\$a multiple unit* \$2 rdami\*

# Ongoing Work (1)

- Discussed by MARC Advisory Committee 2020 / 2021 :
  - Data Provenance:
    - Expansion of field 883 (Metadata Provenance)?
    - Definition of non-standard subfield (e.g. \$\_)?
    - Definition of subfield \$7 or other subfield (where \$7 is unavailable)?
  - Type of Binding: 340 \$I?

# Ongoing Work (2)

- MARC Advisory Committee Summer 2021 :
  - Representative Expressions :
    - New field?
    - New indicator values in existing fields?
    - Other?



# Wider Community Engagement (LC / MLA)

- MARC Update 31 (December 2020)
  - Aspect Ratio Designation : 345 \$d (Bibliographic format)
  - Illustrative Content : 340 \$p (Bibliographic format)
- MARC Update 32 (Scheduled for June 2021)
  - Sound Content : 344 \$i (Bibliographic format)
  - Form of Musical Notation : 348 \$c (Bibliographic format)

# Aspect Ratio Designation (345 \$d) Examples

**345**     *\$d full screen* \$2 rdaar\*

**345**     *\$d wide screen* \$2 rdaar\*

**345**     *\$d mixed aspect ratio* \$2 rdaar\*

# Illustrative Content (340 \$p) Examples

**340**     *\$p illustration* \$2 rdaill

**340**     *\$p portrait* \$2 rdaill

**345**     *\$p map* \$2 rdaill

# Sound Content (344 \$i) Examples

**344**     *\$i silent* \$2 rdasco\*

**344**     *\$i sound* \$2 rdasco\*

# Form of Musical Notation (348 \$c) Examples

348 *\$c score* \$2 rdafmn

348 *\$c staff notation* \$2 rdafmn

# Learning Points (1)

- New coding not mandatory to implementation of RDA
- RSC liaison to provide advice and assistance
- Feedback from MAC may contribute to RDA developments
- RDA will not adapt to MARC 21 record structures if these break its relationships to the LRM

# Learning Points (2)

- Structural change vs Minimal disruption
- Closed vs Open world application
- RDA constraints vs Community preference
- Coding vs Implementation

Thank you!

Thurstan Young

Contact : [thurstan.young@bl.uk](mailto:thurstan.young@bl.uk)