

To: Renate Behrens, Chair, RDA Steering Committee
 From: Anne Welsh, RSC Secretary
 Subject: Formal response to RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1 – Jurisdictions, Governments, and Courts in RDA

This document collates in tabular response the responses received from voting members of the RSC to the first proposal from the Jurisdictions/Places Working Group, *viz.*:

- [RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1/EOO response](#)
- [RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1/EURIG response](#)
- [RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1/NARDAC response](#)
- [RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1/ORDAC response](#)
- [RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1/RDA Examples Editor response](#)
- [RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1/TranslationsTLO](#)
- [RSC/PlacesWG/2023/1/WCEO response](#)

The Technical Team Liaison Officer is not obligated to offer a formal response, because he is one of the co-authors of the proposal. However, he will raise some issues raised by a member of the Technical Team either at the meeting on 18 May or before then. If we receive information from him before the meeting, I will update this summary document accordingly.

Note 1: [ORDAC](#) approves all the recommendations but raises an important issue about the broader understanding of place and ownership. They suggest they will work to develop a discussion paper on this topic.

Note 2: The Library of Congress expressed concerns about the approach, which [NARDAC has passed on](#) for consideration. In particular, it states that “It would be more efficient to keep the term ‘jurisdiction’” and offers a redefinition of the term.

Note 3: The [WCEO](#) approves the recommendations but highlights the cultural and linguistic specificity of differing approaches to the concept of jurisdiction. The [Translations TLO](#) similarly approves the recommendations but points out that not all languages will be impacted, giving the specific example of Hungarian.

Recommendation 1A	Approve	
Recommendation 1B	Approve	
Recommendation 1C	Approve	
Recommendation 1D	Approve	
Recommendation 1E	Approve	

Recommendation 1F		EURIG approves, but with a query on wording
Recommendation 1G		EURIG approves, but with suggestion on wording; NARDAC approves, but with a suggestion on wording that differs from EURIG 's
Recommendation 1H	Approve	
Recommendation 1I	Approve	
Recommendation 1J	Approve	
Recommendation 1K	Approve	
Recommendation 1L	Approve	
Recommendation 2		NARDAC approves, but with a suggestion
Recommendation 3		NARDAC approves, but with a suggestion
Recommendation 4		EURIG approves, with a comment that requires discussion; also requests examples and a consideration of condition option sets.
Recommendation 5	Approve	EURIG requests examples and a consideration of condition option sets.
Recommendation 6	Approve	EURIG requests examples and a consideration of condition option sets.
Recommendation 7		EURIG requests examples and a consideration of condition option sets; NARDAC raises several points for discussion