To: RDA Steering Committee

From: Gordon Dunsire, Chair, RSC

Subject: RSC Technical Working Group: 2016 membership and tasks

Chair

- Gordon Dunsire

Membership

- John Attig (Pennsylvania State University, USA)
- Gordon Dunsire (RSC)
- Deborah Fritz (TMQ, USA)
- Sarah Hartmann (Deutsche Nationalbibliothek)
- Diane Hillmann (MMA, USA)
- Ricardo Santos Muñoz (Biblioteca Nacional de España/EURIG)
- Marja-Liisa Seppälä (National Library of Finland/EURIG)

Tasks

1. Review and update the RDA Element analysis documentation in 5JSC/RDA/Element analysis/Rev/3.
2. Monitor the need for value vocabulary representations of the *RDA Toolkit* relationship elements and designators, following recommendation #7 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3.
3. Investigate the issue of "cataloguer-friendly" and "user-friendly" labels in metadata based on the FRBR/FRAD models using the *RDA* Element set and Relationship designators, following recommendation #10 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3 and the responses from BL and DNB.
4. Explore the issues related to "statements" as aggregates of *RDA* elements and make proposals based on findings.
5. Monitor the development of general models for provenance and other meta-metadata and prepare proposals/discussion papers on their application to RDA by Aug. 1, 2016.
6. Investigate how RDA accommodates data for inverse relationships.
7. Investigate how RDA accommodates relationships between instances of different entities, in collaboration with the RSC Relationship Designators Working Group, and prepare a proposals/discussion paper by Aug. 1, 2016.
8. Follow up recommendations in 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2
    8.1. Treat *note on issue, part, or iteration used as the basis for identification of the resource, note on title*, and *Note on series statement*, as meta-elements, and apply the recommendations of 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1 as appropriate.
    8.2. Ensure the semantics of the data model of Figure 3 is applied to *Note on ...* elements in the RDA Registry, and ensure the RDA instructions clarify the relationship between *Note on ...* elements and their root elements, in collaboration with the RDA Development Team.

8.3. Change the names of the elements as given in Table 4, in collaboration with the RDA Development Team.

9. Follow up recommendations in 6JSC/TechnicalWG/5

9.1. Investigate the representation of sub-types of Nomen as element sub-types of the appellation element, following recommendation #1.

9.2. Review and develop appropriate RDA elements for compatibility with the appellation-Nomen model by assigning element sub-types and ranges, recommendation #2.

9.3. Consider adding the RDA elements *family name* and *given name* as sub-elements of *name of the person*, recommendation #3a, noting that recommendation #3b will be carried out by the RDA Development Team, and prepare a proposal paper by Aug. 1, 2016.

9.4. Investigate the functionality and utility of "preferred" forms of appellation element sub-types in relation to RDA and application profiles in the context of the appellation-Nomen model, recommendation #4a, in collaboration with the RDA Development Team.

9.5. Investigate the utility of relationships between Nomen and how RDA should accommodate them, recommendation #4b.

10. Investigate whether a structured description can be applied only to a manifestation, and what elements are suitable for inclusion in a structured description for each WEMI entity.

10.1. Follow up on 6JSC/ALA/41 in collaboration with the RSC Aggregates Working Group

11. Review the *encoding format* element and recommend revisions in collaboration with the RDA Development Team.

12. Investigate issues in *other designation …* elements.

13. Investigate issues of corporate body and place in RDA, and the accommodation for "online" as a value for *location of conference, etc*.

Relevant documents

- 5JSC/RDA/Element analysis/Rev/3 RDA Element Analysis (http://www.rda-jsc.org/docs/5rda-elementanalysisrev3.pdf)
- 6JSC/ALA/41 Additional instructions in Chapter 27 for Structured Descriptions of the "Contained in" and "Container of" Relationships (http://www.rda-jsc.org/sites/all/files/6JSC-ALA-41.pdf)
- 6JSC/CILIP Rep/3 RDF representation of RDA relationship designators: a follow-up discussion paper (http://www.rda-jsc.org/docs/6JSC-CILIP-rep-3.pdf)
- 6JSC/DNB/Discussion/3 Discussion paper: Hidden relationships in attributes (examples: RDA 9.4.1.4.2, 9.13, 10.6, 11.3, 16.2.2) (http://www.rda-jsc.org/docs/6JSC-DNB-Discussion-3.pdf)
- 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2 Note and related elements in RDA (http://rda-jsc.org/docs/6JSC-TechnicalWG-2.pdf)
- 6JSC/TechnicalWG/5 RDA models for authority data (http://www.rda-jsc.org/sites/all/files/6JSC-TechnicalWG-5.pdf)