To: RDA Steering Committee  
From: Ebe Kartus, ACOC Representative  
Subject: RDA models for provenance data

ACOC thanks the Technical Working Group for another solid proposal.

**Recommendation 1:** Further development of *date of usage, scope of usage, status of identification, and undifferentiated name indicator* should be considered as part of the development of the LRM Nomen entity in RDA.

ACOC is supportive of additional development in this area, and encourage the Technical Working Group to retain the clarity needed for Toolkit users.

**Recommendation 2:** Generalize the scope of application of *cataloguer’s note* and *source consulted* to any RDA element and provide contextual guidance on applicability to specific elements.

ACOC supports the addition of 0.13 - 0.14 to RDA.

In keeping with our desire to retain clarity and understandability for Toolkit users we prefer option 2a2 Contextualized wording to refer to the two new chapters.

**Recommendation 3:** Consider creating the meta-elements *transcription note, transcription source, and transcription rules* when introducing separate elements for transcriptions.

ACOC agrees.

**Recommendation 4:** Develop general guidance on recording provenance data and using RDA meta-elements.

ACOC agrees with the idea of recording general provenance data.

In drafting any new instructions/guidelines we would like to urge the Technical Working Group to keep in mind the need to retain clarity for Toolkit users. ACOC acknowledges that RDA is moving towards a semantic web environment but is also cognizant that we are currently in a transition period and so need to keep in mind Toolkit users who are not as familiar with the new environment.