

To: Kathy Glennan, Chair, RDA Steering Committee

CC: Renate Behrens, RSC Chair-Elect  
Linda Barnhart, RSC Secretary  
Anne Welsh, RSC Secretary-Elect

From: Charlene Chou, WCEO

Subject: Formal response to RSC/RSCSecretary/2022/2 - Proposal to correct the terms  
“multipart monograph” and “serial”

**Recommendation 1:** I agree with this recommendation as a start and the remaining “part” texts to be edited in future. Also, “unit” might be confusing for users since this term has different definitions in different context.

**Recommendation 2:** I agree with this recommendation and would like to make a couple of comments. If this is only for a multiple unit or integrating manifestation, why is “an issue” added? Maybe, it’s better to replace part by unit only. Also, adding the IRI of this property to this proposal would be easier for readers.

**Recommendation 3:** I agree with this recommendation as a start and would like to suggest replacing “part” by “unit in the 2<sup>nd</sup> option.

**Recommendation 4:** I agree with this recommendation.

**Recommendation 5:** I agree with this recommendation.

**Recommendation 6:** I agree with this recommendation.

**Recommendation 7:** I agree with this recommendation for adding “work” for consistency, but think that “person” is a typo and needs to be changed to “family” in the Option.

**Recommendation 8:** I agree with this recommendation to add “work” for consistency, but would like to suggest eliminating “units” from this instruction.

**Recommendation 9:** I disagree with this recommendation.

**General comment:**

Since this proposal is involved with serial works, multiple units and integrating works, it’s quite complex and may need to be vetted by the group.