

**To:** RDA Steering Committee

**From:** Bill Leonard, CCC Representative to RSC

**Subject: Proposal on Sources of Information (RDA 2.2.2)**

CCC thanks the European RDA community for its thoughtful suggestions for the improvement of the instruction on the source of information for titles of moving image resources.

It is enlightening to track back through the early drafts and responses of RDA to see the origins of the exception in question in 2005.

<http://www.rda-jsc.org/archivedsite/docs/5rda-part1-alaresp.pdf>

CCC does not agree with the proposal and does not see a need to open up this key instruction.

CCC certainly is sympathetic to the many challenges of working with multilingual resources in a multilingual environment. In the example cited in the paper, the publishers and distributors might think of these as separate resources, but the content on the discs is identical. The title on the container can still provide access as a variant title. It is difficult to prefer containers as sources of information over other sources permanently attached to, or intrinsic to, a resource.

We also recognize that there are challenges when libraries rely on data on labels or containers that publishers might alter in order to attract different sectors of the retail market. An agency's decision to follow a different order of preference for the sources of information could be stated in its local policy statements.