

To: RDA Steering Committee
From: Gordon Dunsire, Chair, RSC
Subject: RSC Technical Working Group: 2017 membership and tasks

Chair

- Gordon Dunsire

Membership

- John Attig (Pennsylvania State University, USA)
- Gordon Dunsire (RSC)
- Deborah Fritz (TMQ, USA)
- Sarah Hartmann (Deutsche Nationalbibliothek)
- Diane Hillmann (MMA, USA)
- Ricardo Santos Muñoz (Biblioteca Nacional de España)
- Marja-Liisa Seppälä (National Library of Finland)

Tasks

1. Support the work of the 3R Project and provide expert advice.
2. Follow up recommendations in 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2
 - 2.1. Treat *note on issue, part, or iteration used as the basis for identification of the resource, note on title, and Note on series statement*, as meta-elements, and apply the recommendations of 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1 as appropriate.
 - 2.2. Ensure the semantics of the data model of Figure 3 is applied to *Note on ...* elements in the RDA Registry, and ensure the RDA instructions clarify the relationship between *Note on ...* elements and their root elements, in collaboration with the RDA Development Team.
 - 2.3. Change the names of the elements as given in Table 4, in collaboration with the RDA Development Team.
3. Follow up recommendations in 6JSC/TechnicalWG/5
 - 3.1. Investigate the representation of sub-types of Nomen as element sub-types of the appellation element, following recommendation #1.
 - 3.2. Review and develop appropriate RDA elements for compatibility with the appellation-Nomen model by assigning element sub-types and ranges, recommendation #2.
 - 3.3. Investigate the functionality and utility of “preferred” forms of appellation element sub-types in relation to RDA and application profiles in the context of the appellation-Nomen model, recommendation #4a, in collaboration with the RDA Development Team.
 - 3.4. Investigate the utility of relationships between Nomen and how RDA should accommodate them, recommendation #4b.

4. Investigate what elements are suitable for inclusion in a structured description for each WEMI entity.
 - 4.1. Follow up on 6JSC/ALA/41 in collaboration with the RSC Aggregates Working Group
 - 4.2. Explore the issues related to “statements” as aggregates of *RDA* elements and make proposals based on findings.
5. Investigate issues in *other designation ...* elements.
6. Investigate issues of corporate body and place in *RDA*, and the accommodation for “online” as a value for *location of conference, etc.*
7. Investigate the issue of "cataloguer-friendly" and "user-friendly" labels in metadata based on the LRM model using the *RDA* Element set and Relationship designators, following recommendation #10 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3 and the responses from BL and DNB, in collaboration with the RSC Relationship Designators Working Group and the *RDA* Development Team.
8. Review and update the *RDA* Element analysis documentation in 5JSC/*RDA*/Element analysis/Rev/3.
9. Monitor the need for value vocabulary representations of the *RDA Toolkit* relationship elements and designators, following recommendation #7 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3.

Relevant documents

- 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2 Note and related elements in *RDA* (<http://rda-jsc.org/docs/6JSC-TechnicalWG-2.pdf>)
- 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1 Meta-metadata elements in *RDA* (<http://rda-jsc.org/docs/6JSC-TechnicalWG-1.pdf>)
- 6JSC/TechnicalWG/5 *RDA* models for authority data (<http://www.rda-jsc.org/sites/all/files/6JSC-TechnicalWG-5.pdf>)
- 6JSC/ALA/41 Additional instructions in Chapter 27 for Structured Descriptions of the “Contained in” and “Container of” Relationships (<http://www.rda-jsc.org/sites/all/files/6JSC-ALA-41.pdf>)
- 6JSC/CILIP Rep/3 RDF representation of *RDA* relationship designators: a follow-up discussion paper (<http://www.rda-jsc.org/docs/6JSC-CILIP-rep-3.pdf>)
- 5JSC/*RDA*/Element analysis/Rev/3 *RDA* Element Analysis (<http://www.rda-jsc.org/docs/5rda-elementanalysisrev3.pdf>)