North American RDA Committee
RDA Update FORUM

Monday, August 3, 2020
What is NARDAC?

• **North American RDA Committee**
• Represents the North American region on the RDA Steering Committee (RSC)
• Formed in January 2018
NARDAC Communities

• United States
  ➢ American Library Association (ALA)
  ➢ Library of Congress (LC)

• Canada
  ➢ Canadian Committee on Cataloguing (CCC)

[Bermuda, Greenland, Saint Pierre and Miquelon: If/when they implement RDA]
Current members of NARDAC

American Library Association (ALA) Representatives
- Dominique Bourassa, Yale University, NARDAC Chair
- Stephen Hearn, University of Minnesota, Back-up Representative to the RSC

Canadian Committee on Cataloguing (CCC) Representatives
- Thomas Brenndorfer, Guelph Public Library, NARDAC Representative to the RSC
- Thi Bao Tran Phan, Library and Archives Canada

Library of Congress (LC) Representatives
- Damian Iseminger, Bibliographic Access Section, Music Division, NARDAC coordinator of Web Content
- Melanie Polutta, Policy, Training, and Cooperative Programs Division

RDA Board National Institution Representative from North America (ex officio)
- Merideth Fletcher, LAC
NARDAC Charge

• Formulate North American positions on RDA proposals, discussion papers, and drafts
• Keep the North American communities informed of RDA developments and RSC decisions
• Select a member of NARDAC to serve as the North American regional representative to the RSC
• Respond to other RSC initiatives as they arise
• Support the work of RSC by helping to identify possible members for the working groups

Source: NARDAC Terms of Reference
NARDAC Goals

• Develop effective interaction with the communities NARDAC represents
• Become a support and information hub
• Provide direction to constituent groups that assume training responsibilities
• Extend outreach
NARDAC Challenges

• only 6 members representing a widespread region

• $ for operations

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Northern_America_(orthographic_projection).svg
Switch over date for the beta RDA Toolkit
Presentations

• Recordings: https://www.youtube.com/c/RDAToolkitVideo

• Slides: http://www.rda-rsc.org/northamerica/presentations/
RDA Steering Committee meetings 2020

• January 6-9, 2020

• April 6-9, 2020

• July 6-9, 2020

• planned – weeks of October 12 and October 19, 2020
String encoding schemes (chiefly for constructing access points)
- moved to policy statements; allow for scalability

Content elements for aggregates
- supplementary, accessibility, illustrative, colour, sound as *manifestation* shortcut elements

Implementation scenarios updated
- A – Linked open data; B – Relational or object-oriented data; C – Bibliographic/authority data; D – Flat file data
RDA Steering Committee meetings 2020

- April 6-9, 2020

Display labels for RDA elements
- progress report on NARDAC “friendly labels” project

Community vocabularies
- update on resources menu (former appendixes); eliminating Western focus; SES (string encoding scheme) project

Representative expression elements for aggregating works
- completion of content elements and aggregates issues
RDA Steering Committee meetings 2020

• July 6-9, 2020

RDA Entity boundaries
- i.e., when to make new descriptions for entities

Toolkit menu for Resources tab
- rearranging this menu for final release of Toolkit

RDA conformance and “well-formed data”
- RDA metadata statements (subject-predicate-object) are the building blocks for interoperability
Representative expression elements and their use for aggregating works
Representative expression elements for a work

- Work
- Expression
- Content Type
- Language of Expression
- Date of Expression
- Duration
- Intended Audience of Expression
- Medium of Performance of Musical Content
Representative expression elements for a work

Work

- Language of Representative Expression = English
- Content Type of Representative Expression = text

Expression
- Language of Expression = English
- Content Type = text
- Expression
- Expression
- Expression
Representative expression

Expression

Expression

Expression

Representative Expression

Work
Representative expression elements for an aggregating work

Aggregating Work

Aggregating Expression

expression aggregates

Expression

Expression

Expression

Expression

Expression
Representative expression elements for an aggregating work

Aggregating Work

Aggregating Expression

Language of Representative Expression = English
Language of Representative Expression = French
Content Type of Representative Expression = text

Language of Expression = English
Language of Expression = French
Content Type = text
Representative expression elements for an aggregating work

Example: Different albums of the same songs could have different cumulative values for duration:
- different aggregating works because of differences in expressions aggregated
- but can be collocated under an **Authorized access point for work group**
Toolkit menu tab for Resources
## Resources menu

### Community Resources

- Abbreviations
  - Abbreviations for countries and states
  - Abbreviations in Cyrillic script
  - Abbreviations in Greek script
  - Abbreviations in Hebrew script
  - Abbreviations in Latin script
- Books of the Bible
  - Books of the Bible: Library of Congress-Program for Cooperative Cataloging
  - Festlegungen für den deutschen Sprachraum zum Erfassen der bevorzugten Titel von biblischen Schriften
  - Livres de la Bible : Bibliothèque et Archives Canada-Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec
- Terms for collective titles
- Terms for gender
- Terms for medium of performance
- Terms in specific languages
  - [toc for 64 languages from Afrikaans to Yiddish]
- Terms of rank

### Resources

- Glossary
- Vocabulary encoding schemes
- Community resources
- Revision history
- AACR2

---

**Capitalization of transcriptions to be reviewed for Guidelines on normalized transcription.**

**Additional instructions for names of persons and initial articles to be reviewed as part of String Encoding Scheme project.**
Thank you!
RDA/PS conversion project update: the LC/PCC policy statements

Melanie Polutta
LC representative to NARDAC
What is the project?

Changing our documentation to work with the beta RDA Toolkit that will go live in December 2020 (RDA Toolkit)
Background information

• Documentation to be created:
  ▪ Policy statements
  ▪ Application profile
  ▪ metadata guidance documentation

• Date of implementation **TBD** for RDA Toolkit released on December 15, 2020

• PCC Policy Committee (PoCo) agreed to project plan, with monthly status reports and issues requiring discussion
Changes

• Organization of beta RDA Toolkit is radically different
  ▪ By high-level entity
  ▪ Modular

• Fundamental changes in four areas of Diachronic Works, Element Labels, Data Provenance, Aggregates
Addressing the changes

• Joint LC/PCC Task Groups
  ▪ Diachronic Works
  ▪ Element Labels
  ▪ Data Provenance
  ▪ Aggregates
Who is working on it?

Policy, Training, and Cooperative Programs Division leads the effort, with assistance from LC catalogers and PCC PoCo.
Who is working on it in LC?

- Project manager: Judith Cannan, Chief of PTCP
- Assignment manager: Clara Liao, Section Head of PTCP/CTPS
- Project lead: Melanie Polutta (PTCP/CTPS)
- Project management resource: Ivey Glendon (PTCP/CPPS)
- PTCP members: Paul Frank, Manon Théroux, Veronica Ranieri, Les Hawkins (retired), Ivey Glendon
- SCD/MD: Damian Iseminger
- ABA: Jacque Brellenthin, Sarah Byun, Steven Folsom, Bill Robboy, Trina Soderquist, Jessica Zieman
- Outside ABA: special format catalogers that we will bring in for consultation
Parts of the project

- 6 phases, overlapping in time
  - Phase 1: Analyze policy statements
  - Phase 2: DITA markup conversion of PSs
  - Phase 3: Application profile
  - Phase 4: Testing of policy statements
  - Phase 5: Metadata guidance documents
  - Phase 6: Training

- Implementation!
Phase 1

• Analyze policy statements: Phase 1a
  ▪ Cataloger analyzes current policy statements and writes new ones
  ▪ Proofreader reviews what first cataloger wrote
  ▪ Original writer finalizes their policy statements

• Analyze policy statements: Phase 1b
  ▪ Cataloger analyzes the full RDA text to write new policy statements
  ▪ Cataloger brings 1a text into cohesion with 1b text
Phase 2 & 3

- **DITA team created:**
  - Ivey Glendon, Daniel Griffin, Damian Iseminger, Clara Liao, Melanie Polutta, Manon Théroux
  - Currently studying DITA as a group
  - Batch of test policy statements created for April 29th update
  - Working out best practices so we are ready when Phase 1 is done

- **Application profile**
  - A format for AP spreadsheet has been established
  - Working out a methodology for how to handle it

- **Phases 4, 5, & 6 not yet started**
Challenges!

• Complexity of the work
• Growth of the project
  ▪ String Encoding Scheme (SES) decision by RSC to hand these to community control
• Pandemic impact
Challenging, maddening, exciting, exhausting, interesting work...

Thank you for your attention

If you would like to see more detail about this work, see this link for a presentation: http://login.icohere.com/PCC?pnum=PGZ70039
Getting ready for the new RDA Toolkit: updates from Library and Archives Canada

Thi Bao Tran Phan, CCC representative on NARDAC
LAC’s context

- Bilingual national institution
  - Policy on bilingualism

- Bibliographic and authority records created in French, English, or both
Recent innovations at LAC

- LAC’s catalogue in OCLC’s WorldShare Management Services (WMS)
- LAC is a member of NACO
- Canadiana Name Authorities in French (Canadiana in WMS)
  - PFAN authorities file
Francophone Name Authority Programme (PFAN)

- Collaboration between LAC, Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec (BAnQ) and fifteen university libraries from the Bureau de coopération interuniversitaire (BCI)
- Based on the NACO model for its gouvernance structure, programme and policies
- Officially launched on June 2020
Cataloguing at LAC

- Bibliographic records in WMS
- 2 files for authorities
  - LC/NACO
  - Canadiana/PFAN
- 3 files for subject headings
  - Library of Congress Subject Headings (LCSH)
  - Canadian Subject Headings (CSH)
    (accessible in WMS via Canadiana)
  - Répertoire de vedettes-matières (RVM)
    (accessible in WMS)
Current policy statements

- Bilingual
- Library system: Amicus
- LAC-BAC/BAnQ statements
  - LAC practice: available in English and French RDA
  - BAnQ practice: available in French RDA only
New policy statements

- Bilingual
- Specific to Canada and to LAC, while still closely aligned with LC
- Aligned with PCC
- Aligned with PFAN
- New library system: WMS
- Collaboration with BAnQ for the creation of policy statements in French in the RDA Toolkit
## Actions before the implementation of the policy statements in the new RDA Toolkit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning of the work and the implementation date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of the current policy statements in relation to the new RDA Toolkit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicating to the Canadian library community via CCC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing and revision of the English texts of statements specific to Canada and to LAC, based on policy statements by LC and PCC (NACO).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation of the statements to French.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing of the French texts of policy statements by the PFAN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation of the statements to English.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating the statements in the RDA Toolkit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborating with BAnQ for the French statements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Application profiles

- Analysis of staff’s needs
- Elizabeth Sanders, acting manager of Description is a member of the Application Profiles Working Group
Implementation

• The implementation date of the policy statements is influenced by
  ▪ Other priorities for 2020-2021
    ○ PFAN
  ▪ French translation of the RDA Toolkit
  ▪ LC/PCC policy statements
• LAC will communicate its implementation schedule in the fall
Questions?
Translating the new RDA Toolkit into French

North American RDA Committee Update Forum
August 3, 2020

Daniel Paradis
Translations Team Liaison Officer
Bibliographic Standards Librarian
Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec
2010 : the beginnings

- Word file for each chapter (including examples)
- each appendix
- glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Anglais</th>
<th>Français</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>This chapter sets out the functional objectives and principles underlying the guidelines and instructions in chapters on recording [attributes/data] ... and specifies core elements for the ... of those entities. (1.0)</td>
<td>Ce chapitre expose les objectifs fonctionnels et les principes qui sous-tendent les lignes directrices et les instructions dans les chapitres ... sur l’enregistrement des [attributs/données] ... et spécifie les éléments fondamentaux pour ... de ces entités. (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>There are a number of terms used in this chapter and in chapters that carry meanings specific to their use in RDA. The meanings of a number of key terms are explained under ... Terms used as data element names are defined at the beginning of the instructions for the specific element. In addition, all terms used with a specific technical meaning are defined in the glossary. (1.1.1)</td>
<td>Certains termes utilisés dans ce chapitre et dans les chapitres ... possèdent une signification propre à leur utilisation dans RDA. La signification d’un certain nombre de termes-clés est expliquée sous ... Les termes utilisés comme noms d’éléments de données sont définis au début des instructions se rapportant à l’élément en question. De plus, tous les termes utilisés avec un sens technique particulier sont définis dans le glossaire. (1.1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>This chapter provides general guidelines and instructions on recording the attributes of ... (2.0)</td>
<td>Ce chapitre fournit des lignes directrices générales et des instructions sur l’enregistrement des attributs de ... (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>... apply the guidelines given under ... (2.1.1)</td>
<td>... appliquer les lignes directrices données sous ... (2.1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>When preparing a [comprehensive/analytical] description, choose a source of information ... (2.1.2)</td>
<td>Lors de la préparation d’une description [comprehensive/analytical], choisir une source d’information ... (2.1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Apply the instructions given under ... (2.2.1)</td>
<td>Appliquer les instructions données sous ... (2.2.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3R Project

- Translation and registry synchronization process implemented in 2017
- December 2017 release: Glossary and Appendices I, J, K and M in French translation were produced using RDA Registry data
- Preliminary step: translating RDA Reference using templates in CSV format
3R Project

- Data from the Registry will be used to populate
  - Parts of entity and element pages
    - Name of entity/element
    - Definition and Scope
    - Element Reference box
    - List of controlled terms
- Glossary
- Vocabulary encoding schemes
3R Project

• And parts of examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>has authorized access point for expression</td>
<td>Brunhoff, Jean de, 1899–1937. Babar en famille. English. Spoken word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has content type</td>
<td>New York, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has place of capture</td>
<td>October 28, 1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has date of capture</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has language of expression</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has date of expression</td>
<td>Luther, Frank, 1905–1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has narrator agent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3R Project

- SDL Trados Studio (computer-assisted translation tool)
- Includes tools to facilitate the translation process
  - translation memory
  - terminological databases
Outline of an element chapter

- Definition and Scope
- Element Reference
  - IRI
  - Domain
  - Range
  - Alternate labels
  - Dublin Core Terms
  - MARC 21 [Authority and/or Bibliographic]
- Prerecording
- Recording
  - Recording an unstructured description
  - Recording a structured description
  - Recording an identifier
  - Recording an IRI
- Related elements

Translation table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>en-US</th>
<th>es-ES</th>
<th>fr-FR</th>
<th>de-DE</th>
<th>it-IT</th>
<th>fi-FI</th>
<th>ca-ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glossary</strong></td>
<td>Glossary</td>
<td>Glossario</td>
<td>Glossaire</td>
<td>Glossar</td>
<td>Glossario</td>
<td>Sanasto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Example</strong></td>
<td>Ejemplo</td>
<td>Exemple</td>
<td>Beispiel</td>
<td>Esempi</td>
<td>Esimerkki</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition and Scope</strong></td>
<td>Définition et champ d'application</td>
<td>Määritelmä ja soveltamisala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Element Reference</strong></td>
<td>Renseignements sur l'élément</td>
<td>Elementin tiedot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRI</strong></td>
<td>IRI</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domain</strong></td>
<td>Domain</td>
<td></td>
<td>Domain</td>
<td></td>
<td>Määrittelyjoukkio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DITA model and boilerplate file

• DITA data model implemented in 2017
• Boilerplate file is an example of how the DITA model improved content management and the translation process
• Contains sentences and paragraphs that are frequently re-used in the RDA text
  • Improved, more granular version of recurring phrases document
• When needed in a specific instruction, boilerplate text is pulled into RDA instructions using content references or content key references
  • Boilerplate text needs to be translated and, if needed, revised only once
Boilerplate file

Comment: Conditions: Manifestation

A manifestation is issued in a single unit

A manifestation is issued in two or more units.
A manifestation is issued in two or more units in a container.

A manifestation is a facsimile or reproduction of another manifestation.

A manifestation is an early printed book.

**CONDITION**

A manifestation is issued in two or more units.

There are edition statements relating to the whole as well as to parts.
Boilerplate file

• RDA has 3,017 elements (as of May 26)
• Instructions for 2,536 elements (84%) are composed entirely of boilerplate and will be translated automatically
  • Ex. “printer agent of”
• Only instructions for 481 elements (16%) will have to be translated by a translator
Issues encountered during translation

• Using parentheses to qualify similar labels by element range was found inconsistent and confusing in the English version; parentheses were removed
  • augmentation of (work) → augmentation of work
  • catalogue (work) → catalogue work

• Parentheses cannot always be easily removed in French
  • augmentation of work → augmentation d’une œuvre
  • sequel work → œuvre ...?
  • catalogue work → œuvre catalogographique?

• Parentheses retained in some instances
  • suite (œuvre)
  • catalogue (œuvre)
Issues encountered during translation

• Typos
• Inconsistencies between similar definitions
• Ambiguities in wording
• Problematic definitions. For example:
  • Definitions not adjusted for elements that had become relationship elements. Example:
    • coordinates of cartographic content (range = Place)
      • Original definition in beta Toolkit: A mathematical system for identifying a place covered by the cartographic content of a work.
      • Revised definition: A place that is the area of coverage of a cartographic work that is described using a mathematical system to identify its boundaries or location.
Problem with “issue”

• Does *issuing* cover only publication? What is the distinction between *publication*, *release* and *issuance*?
  • Scope note for *name of publisher*: Publication includes release and issuance.
    Google translation of note (July 2019): La publication inclut la publication et la publication (!!).

• *issuing body* agent, *issuing body* collective agent, *issuing body* corporate body, etc.
  • *Issuing body* used to refer only to a corporate body under AACR2. How to translate *issuing body* when the term applies to all types of agents? Could *body* be omitted?
Next steps

- RDA Reference
  - Value vocabularies
  - Element sets
- Translation Table
- Boilerplate file
- Toolkit instructions
  - Entity chapters
  - Guidance chapters
  - Related resources
- User interface
- Examples
- Help

Expected date of completion: May 31, 2021
To learn more about the original French translation project:

Questions?
RDA Toolkit Update

August 3, 2020

James Hennelly
Director, RDA Toolkit

jhennelly@ala.org
Next Release to Beta Site

• Scheduled for September 16th

• Will include...
  ▪ Partial translations in Finnish and Norwegian
  ▪ Functionality for setting language preference and switching between language versions
  ▪ Improvements to contributed documents, particularly the subscribe functions
  ▪ Additional policy statements from LC-PCC and British Library
  ▪ Restructuring of the Resources tab

• Final Beta Release
December Switchover

- Will focus on the switchover with minimal development or editorial work
- Beta site will become access.rdatoolkit.org
- Beta.rdatoolkit.org will go away
- Original RDA Toolkit will move to new address and remain accessible to all
- Aiming to not to break any links and preserve profile-related content (setting, bookmarks etc.)
Feedback

We still want it.

James Hennelly
jhennelly@ala.org
rdatoolkit@ala.org
RDA Conformance in a Complex Environment

Stephen Hearn (University of Minnesota)
ALA representative to NARDAC
RDA Conformance

• RSC discussion paper, May 2020
• Discusses degrees of metadata conformance with RDA
• Proposed replacement for “Data Elements” Guidance chapter
• [http://www.rda-rsc.org/sites/all/files/RDA%20conformance%20proposal.pdf](http://www.rda-rsc.org/sites/all/files/RDA%20conformance%20proposal.pdf)
Indirect and partial conformance

- Indirect conformance = conformance with RDA entities or elements at a narrower level
- Partial conformance = RDA description set + non-RDA metadata in one package
- Unconstrained element set in RDA Registry = non-RDA metadata for use outside of RDA
Complex environment

• Cataloging works with three systems
  ▪ Content standard (e.g., AACR2, RDA)
  ▪ Encoding format (e.g., MARC, RDF)
  ▪ Automated system (e.g., OCLC, Alma)
• Not fully congruent or compatible
• Indirect and partial conformance with RDA will be widely practiced
Closed vs Open World

• Do RDA entity classes and element constraints result in a closed world?
• Linked data prefers identifiers
• RDA requires RDA entities, but not RDA entity descriptions
• RDA recognizes the potential for equivalent or compatible element semantics
• RDA accepts partial conformance
Catalogers make it all work

• Cataloging is a practical activity
• Catalogers work to ensure that:
  ▪ Metadata responds to user needs
  ▪ Metadata can be communicated and shared
  ▪ The strengths of standards, formats, and systems can be leveraged together
• RDA conformance is advantageous, but it’s not the only semantic game we play
3R is Almost Done – What’s Next?

Kathy Glennan
Chair, RDA Steering Committee
Head, Original & Special Collections Cataloging
University of Maryland Libraries
3R Project – Final Milestones

• September RDA Toolkit release
  ▪ More policy statement examples from LC and the British Library
  ▪ Partial translations published in Finnish and Norwegian
  ▪ Mapping of RDA elements to LRM elements

• Switchover date: December 15, 2020
  ▪ Beta Toolkit ceases being beta and becomes the authorized version of RDA
    o New URL: access.rdatoolkit.org
  ▪ Original Toolkit still available
    o New URL: original.rdatoolkit.org
    o No date set yet for retiring the original Toolkit
      • You will have at least a one-year notification
Timeframe for Implementation

DON’T PANIC
Timeframe for Implementation

• Up to communities, based on
  ▪ Availability of their own application profiles, policy statements, best practices, etc.
  ▪ Completion of local training initiatives
  ▪ Possibly: implementation of additional RDA-related content designation in MARC 21, BIBFRAME, or other encoding standard

• There may be a coordinated implementation date among some national libraries world-wide
  ▪ This happened with the original RDA
A Few Things to Remember

• RDA was never designed to meet all your metadata needs
  ▪ Administrative metadata, resource management metadata, and rights management metadata are all out of scope of RDA
    o As is subject access, unless it’s for an RDA entity
  ▪ RDA can point out to other standards with related entity of RDA entity or something more specific (e.g., related entity of [agent, place, work, etc.]) as attributes of an RDA entity

• “Core elements” not part of beta RDA
  ▪ Required elements are quite minimal at the RDA (or international) level
  ▪ More specific guidance/requirements expected in application profiles, policy statements, and/or best practices
A Few Things to Remember

*Just because an element is defined in RDA does not mean catalogers have to use it*
What does RDA Require?

• Coherent description of an information resource
  ▪ [Required] A description of at least one of its entities
  ▪ [Recommended] Relationships between WEMI entities for the information resource, using specific relationship elements with the appropriate cardinality, such as the following (full list at 07.04.34.92):
    o expression manifested [minimum = 1; maximum not specified]
    o manifestation of work [minimum number = 1; maximum not specified]
      • This relationship implies the existence of at least one expression

• Description of a WEMI entity
  ▪ [Required] An appellation element (appellation, title/preferred title, access point/authorized access point, identifier, or IRI)
  ▪ [Recommended] Other elements to support LRM user tasks
What Will Communities Require?

• Cataloging communities will determine for themselves
  ▪ Choices in granularity (e.g., agent vs. a more specific entity like person)
  ▪ Recording method(s) for a given element
    o unstructured, structured, identifier, IRI
  ▪ Which additional elements are required, and which of these are repeatable (beyond what is already in RDA)
  ▪ Which elements will not be used
What’s Next for the RSC?

• October 2020 meeting changed from in-person to virtual
  ▪ Expanded from one week to two weeks
  ▪ Will be a mix of synchronous and asynchronous meeting styles
    o Will hold 4 two-hour synchronous meetings at different times to accommodate the different time zones of RSC members
    o Investigating how to accommodate observers
    o Agenda items may cross over between both meeting styles
  ▪ Papers, reports, etc. to be posted on the RSC website no later than three weeks before the meeting

• 4 meetings planned for 2021
  ▪ 3 asynchronous (January, April, July)
  ▪ 1 in person – in New Zealand
RDA Development Goals
2020-2021

• Continued collaboration with MARC/RDA Working Group
  ▪ More proposals and discussion papers related to new RDA elements expected for MARC Advisory Committee meeting in January 2021

• Receive and act on initial recommendations from RSC’s Application Profiles Working Group

• Consider proposals from RDA communities as they arise
  ▪ Currently under development by NARDAC
    ○ curator agent of work/curator agent of work of

• Support for new RSC member to represent Latin America and the Caribbean (anticipated no later than 2021)
RDA Development Goals 2020-2021

• Ongoing review and update of RDA to reduce, and ultimately eliminate, Western focus
  ▪ Current work on developing community-based string encoding schemes is part of this effort

• Continued development of and support for “community resources” in the Toolkit
  ▪ Including identifying what constitutes a “community” and what their relationship is with the RSC

• Create a self-service checklist of conformance conditions

• Respond to user feedback
More Information – all free!

• RSC website: http://rda-rsc.org/
  ▪ RSC news and announcements: http://rda-rsc.org/news
  ▪ RSC documents (2020): http://rda-rsc.org/node/633
    (RSC papers, agendas, minutes, working group charges, etc.)
  ▪ RSC presentations (2020): http://www.rda-rsc.org/node/634

• RDA Toolkit site: https://www.rdatoolkit.org/
  ▪ Toolkit news: https://www.rdatoolkit.org/news

• RDA Toolkit YouTube channel
  ▪ https://www.youtube.com/c/RDAToolkitVideo
    (contains instructional videos on how to use the RDA Toolkit and
    recordings of RDA-related presentations)

• Email me: RSCChair@rdatoolkit.org
Questions??
Remember

There are still pieces that need to fall into place before we can use the beta RDA Toolkit to catalog.

Remember

Switch over date

December 15

North American Implementation date(s)

?
What you can do to prepare for Implementation Day

• Look at the free resources listed in Kathy’s presentation

• “Play” with the beta Toolkit

• Stay tuned for announcements from the RSC, LAC, LC, and other North American RDA specialized communities

• Be on the lookout for training opportunities; volunteer in training initiatives

• Be open-minded...
What you can do to prepare for Implementation Day

KEEP CALM AND CATALOGUE ON
Thanks

ALA Publishing eLearning Solutions
• Colton Ursiny
• Hannah Murphy
• Dan Freeman

Presenters:
• Thomas Brenndorfer
• Melanie Polutta
• Thi Bao Tran Phan
• Daniel Paradis
• James Hennelly
• Stephen Hearn
• Kathy Glennan
Dominique Bourassa
nardacchair@rdatoolkit.org