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The Business Meeting was restricted to members and invited guests only
1 Welcome and introductions

1.1 Status and implementation plans

All members introduced themselves and gave a short status report on plans for RDA implementation.

- **Giovanni Aldi**, Catalini Libri, Florence
  Cassalini Libri offers RDA records in MARC 21. Cassalini received training from Library of Congress and has been producing RDA records for 3 years. Works with all foreign metadata providers. They can now import from UNIMARC and MARC21 to BIBFRAME and vice versa.

- **Laszlek Sniezka**, Poland
  Poland has not yet taken a decision on a move to RDA, but they keep their rules aligned with RDA, so a future move will go smoothly.

- **Marja-Liisa Seppälä**, Finland
  40 Finnish libraries within the Finnish university library network moved to RDA earlier this year. So far everything has worked out fine.

- **Gabriele Meßmer**, Bayerische Staatsbibliothek
  Bayerische Staatsbibliothek is a member of the German RDA Group and because of that, part of the German move to RDA that started in 2015.

- **Jarmila Přibylová**, Czech Republic
  The Czech Republic moved to RDA in May 2015

- **Martin Krejčí**, Slovakia
  Slovakia will be ready to move to RDA in 2017

- **Irena Kavčič**, National and University Library of Slovenia
  No decision yet in Slovenia, but there is a working group right now working on a cataloguing code for the country. A move to RDA is difficult for them since they never used AACR or MARC21. The French approach seems appealing.

- **Daiva Jurksaitiene**, eLABa Consorcium, Lithuania
  Lithuania is brand new in EURIG. The eLABa Consortium joined just a month ago. They are planning to make RDA possible also in Lithuania.

- **Rita Albrecht**, Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Verbundsysteme, Germany, Switzerland, Austria
  Rita gave a brief summary of the status of the implementation of RDA in the German speaking countries. The implementation started in 2015 and is now running fairly smoothly.

- **Christian Aliverti**, Swiss National Library
  Swiss National Library just moved to the Gemeinsame Normdatei GND. Up until now RDA has been implemented for authority data. The descriptive part of the catalogue will be changed to RDA this year.

- **Jane Makke**, National Library of Estonia
  No firm decision yet, but a move to RDA is expected.

- **Roberto Gomez**, National Library of Spain
  Only Catalan libraries have moved to RDA. The rest are waiting for what is happening to RDA in the rest of the world.
• **Lian Winternans**, National Library of the Netherlands  
Netherlands have RDA since 2013, one of the first countries to move to RDA after the Anglo-American world. They have taken RDA in its original English version and are cataloguing in English and all works fine so far.

• **Nina Berve**, National Library of Norway  
Norway has started translating the RDA Toolkit. The implementation schedule will depend on the progress of the translation.

• **Ragna Steinsardottir**, National and University Library of Iceland  
Iceland went over to RDA on 20. May this year, i.e. a week ago. The move had been much anticipated and has been met with much enthusiasm within the library community.

• **Hanne Hol Hansen**, Danish Bibliographic Center, DBC  
In Denmark a proposal for a move to RDA and a National Strategy for Authority Data has been worked out by the Bibliographic Council and is now with the Agency for Culture and Palaces awaiting a decision.

• **Clément Oury**, ISSN International Centre  
Several members of the ISSN Network have implemented RDA: the ISSN International Centre ingests RDA records from these centres, but has not switched to RDA for its own cataloguing activity. However, the ISSN IC plans to analyse further the use of RDA for serials.

• **Françoise Leresche**, Bibliothèque national de France  
There will be no move to RDA for the moment, but France is developing its own cataloguing code which will lead to an “RDA-FR”. There are too many areas in which France disagrees with the RDA community to be able to adopt RDA as it is. Above all France believes that RDA does not adhere sufficiently closely to existing IFLA models.

• **Thierry Clavel**, RERO - Library Network of Western Switzerland  
A move to RDA is planned in the French-speaking part, but they are awaiting a move to new national project "(Swiss library service platform" which aims at having a unique national ILS/ LSP in the cloud by 2019/2020.

• **Renate Behrens**, Deutsche Nationalbibliothek and **Verena Schaffner**, Austrian Library Network  
Renate and Verena told about the latest development in the German-speaking part of Europe. The move to RDA took place in 2015. It has not always been easy, but the cataloguing sector is slowly getting used to the new environment.

• **Alan Danskin**, British Library  
The British Library implemented RDA since 2013 and in the UK all legal deposit libraries have adopted RDA. BDS, the British Library’s agent for Cataloguing-in-Publication also implemented RDA in 2013. Public Libraries get their records from BDS and other third-party providers and thereby mainly also get RDA data. BL has begun to offer training courses in RDA. Currently a one-day “crash course”, RDA in a Day is offered, as that is what the market can afford.

• **Anita Goldberga**, National Library of Latvia *(added after the meeting)*  
In Latvia RDA will be implemented step by step, starting with authority data in the spring of 2016; following with monographs etc. It is not envisaged to translate full RDA into Latvian.
1.2 EURIG Website
There were some new items of information about the website for EURIG. It has to be moved since the current host can’t host the present one much longer. The Chair had checked the possibility of creating a European sector on the official RDA site and that appears possible, so we are looking further into that. In the meantime we might have to find a temporary solution.

1.3 Members’ status updates
There was a proposal from last year to provide a listing on the status of implementation for each member. The implementation status is important as it will determine eligibility for certain roles, therefore the committee will carry this forward. There is a dependency on the platforms we are going to use for communication and as a shared workspace.

Action: Executive Committee: provide an appropriate place for recording implementation status and notify members.
Action: All to review/update status when requested by the committee.

2 Minutes of the previous meeting and matters arising
The minutes of the previous meeting were reviewed. No further comments were received and the minutes were approved.

3 Membership Update
The Secretary reported that since last year’s meeting we have new members from: Lithuania, Daiva Jurksaitiene; Czech Republic, Jarmila Přibylová, and Slovakia: Martin Krejčí, and from next year Norway will have a new representative, Frank B. Haugen, since Nina Berve will be retiring.

EURIG was also delighted to accept the application for membership from the National Library of Estonia, which was received during the meeting.

Membership criteria, set out in Article 3 of the Cooperation Agreement, will be clarified as a consequence of discussions on governance. This may also help to deter applications from institutions or individuals who have misunderstood the purpose of EURIG and the eligibility criteria.

4 RSC update
Outcomes from the JSC meeting in November 2015 in Edinburgh.
The Chair reported from the meeting, at which EURIG was officially represented by the Vice-Chair, Vernena Schaffner, and at which UK and DNB were also represented, by Alan Danskin and Susanne Oehlschläger respectively.

- The Edinburgh meeting differed from previous JSC meetings in content and ambition. The meeting attracted 53 observers from 18 countries and was the focus for a number of
satellite events that contributed to the development and promotion of RDA.

- Seminar on Rare Materials
- Meeting of the ACRL/RBMS Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials Task Force (ALA DCRM Task Force)
- Meeting of area music librarians with Damian Iseminger, chair of the JSC Music Working Group, and Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi, chair of the ISBD Review Group
- RLS-athon exploring the works of Robert Louis Stevenson through the mediums of RDA, RIMMF and fancy dress
- The content of the meeting was different from earlier meetings since there was much more focus on strategic directions for RDA than on editorial issues The main themes included:
  - Preliminary discussion of toolkit redevelopment. The focus will be on improving the functionality and efficiency of the toolkit rather than changing instructions.
  - Implications of consolidation of FR models in FRBR-LRM. It is clear that new entities, such as Timespan and Nomen will have to be reflected in RDA.
  - Extensibility. How can RDA be made hospitable to other cultural heritage organizations? To what extent should RDA accommodate guidance for special collections, such as rare materials?
  - Greater flexibility in how data is recorded and presented. To what extent should RDA mandate the composition and structure of authorized access points? Should RDA mandate whether attributes are transcribed or recorded?
  - Internationalization. How should RDA address the unconscious biases that are barriers to adoption beyond the Anglo-American community? How can JSC help the work of translators? How should governance structures adapt to become more representative?

On the final day of the meeting JSC formally changed its name from the Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA to the RDA Steering Committee (RSC).

For further information from the meeting see the Chair’s report in appendix 2.

5 Reports from Working Group representatives

There were reports from the different working groups related to RDA.

5.1 Aggregates WG
Francoise Leresche and Verena Schaffner reported from the work of the working group.
The work on aggregates aims for consistency with FRBRoo both in general and for RDA’s management of augmentations. Further work is needed on augmentations.

5.2 Fictitious entities Working Group
Alan Danskin reported from the Working Group. A discussion paper considering the implications of LRM had been prepared for the Edinburgh meeting. The JSC felt that some of the concerns raised derived from a misunderstanding of agency and the group had misunderstood some of the the implications of nomen but acknowledged that cultural heritage institutions will need clarity on how to attribute resources that were not created by humans. How do we for example express that a whale song was created by a whale? There are some principles from CIDOC/CRM that can be used but FRBR-LRM would need to make
5.3 Music Working Group

Anders Cato reported from the Work of the Working Group. Anders was very happy that he now had a European discussion group to talk about the issues that come up in the working group with. The discussion group right now has 6 members, and they were consulted for the first time this spring on a proposal from the British Library on making the use of conventional collective titles (CCT’s) unnecessary. After some discussion the discussion group recommended the Working Group to ask RSC to keep the CCT’s as an alternative, a piece of advice that the Working Group also later decided to follow. More proposals are coming up later this year.
See further Anders’ written report in appendix 4.

5.4 Places WG

Christian Aliverti reported that the working group had not been very active last year. It is still waiting for the change in FRBR-LRM.

5.5 RDA/ONIX Framework Working Group

The WG developed Guidelines for proposing new content and carrier categories which were approved by JSC. Alan Danskin took over from Gordon Dunsire as Chair of the Group in 2016 and will shortly begin work on the tasks assigned to the group.
See further Gordon’s written report in appendix 5.

5.6 Relationship Designators Working Group

Maira Kreislere reported that there had been good cooperation with Hanne Hørl Hansen regarding the reviewed proposals for RDA Appendix G. There were some problems, for example can a conductor be both conductor and a performer. New changes in appendix G are on their way.

5.7 Technical Working Group

Marja-Liisa Seppälä reported that there had been two discussion papers last year, an authority data proposal, concerning nomen appellation and access points, and another proposal concerning unstructured/structured descriptions. There are three active tasks for the coming year.
See further Marja-Liisa’s written report in appendix 6.

5.8 Capitalization Working Group

Anders Cato and Marja-Liisa Seppälä reported that there had not been too much going on in the last year, but hopefully discussion will revive soon.
See further Anders’ written report in appendix 7.

6 Demo of a new library system using FRBR

Thierry Clavel gave a demonstration of the Syrtis Library Management System, developed by the French company Proligone. Syrtis implements the FRBR model for cataloguing and
discovery. Though it is not an implementation of RDA, Syrtis can be set up to fit RDA rules and elements.

7 Follow up from Workshop: Discussion

7.1 Communications
The executive committee will work with ALA Publishing and RDA Board as appropriate to make effective use of the RDA infrastructure, including if possible the Website. It was noted in this respect that additional resource may be needed on the Executive Committee to support the EURIG area of the website.

7.2 Working practices & tools
A number of planning tools such as Trello and PB works had been discussed and the Executive Committee will evaluate further.

Action: EC

7.3 Supporting the representative to RSC
The Chair reported on the outcomes from Tuesday’s workshop on governance. The principle points for discussion were:

7.3.1 Establishment of the new editorial committee
It was agreed that all members will be able to vote, but that implementers will have a majority of the positions on the committee. Nominations for membership were opened.

Action: All. Deadline to send in nominations is the 3rd of June.

It was queried whether there should be some restrictions or limits imposed on multiple representatives from a single country or community. The Chair expressed the view that representatives should be selected for their expertise, irrespective of their community and that everyone should remember the working principles we agreed. This met with general agreement.

It was agreed that the term of the representative to RSC should be three years, renewable once.

7.3.2 Cooperation Agreement
It was agreed in principle to revise the cooperation document to reflect the changes proposed at the workshop and in the member’s meeting.

7.3.3 Implementers/Non-implementers
The following criteria were agreed: “Implementers are active producers of RDA records. Consuming RDA records created by other institutions or agencies does not constitute implementation.”
7.4 Extra item: internationalization.
Finland raised a special item exemplifying some of the issues pertaining to internationalization that had been encountered in their implementation. The issues were discussed briefly.

Comments from the National Library of Finland
The following RDA instructions pose cultural or linguistic problems for the Finnish implementation:

6.29.1.18-6.29.1.20 Authorized Access Point Representing a Legal Work:
• The Anglo-American legal system differs from the Finnish one. The RDA instructions for legal resources cannot be applied in Finland.
• Could the present instructions be replaced by more general instructions?

7.4.4.3 Recording Right Ascension and Declination:
• The English word “to” (indicating a range) has been replaced by three points [...] in the Finnish RDA. There is not a corresponding word for “to” in Finnish.

A.2.6 and A.3.2 Capitalization:
• The instructions of “capitalization of the first word of the term used for the type of family” and “capitalization of the first word of each term associated with titles of works” is against the capitalization rules of Finnish.

B.7 Abbreviations:
• Could the table of Latin alphabet abbreviations be removed and express the abbreviations of each language in the policy statements?
• There is an error in the Finnish abbreviation of the word numero. The correct abbreviation is “nro”, not “n:o”.

In the discussion that followed Renate Behrens reported that Germany had already adapted the German RDA rules to the German legal system. The Anglo-American legal system does differ a lot with the German one. Germany therefore created its own policy statements in this field. Germany had to react quickly because the legal works that are published in Germany are also legal deposit.

It was proposed that we ask the RSC to create a group on legal matters as the problems are unlikely to be confined to Europe.

Action: Renate Behrens to raise with RSC Chair.

Both capitalization and abbreviation are already being looked into by RSC.

A further issue that arose in discussion was the question of gender specific terms. In several European languages there is no gender neutral form and many relationship designators may take different forms according to gender. A number of countries are using or considering the use of codes. Austria uses codes and generates the relationship names on the fly; the German
community has a mapping to the MARC 21 relator terms which it would be willing to share. The Chair noted that the URIs would also serve as neutral identifiers, but acknowledged the difficulties of implementing this in MARC.

Chair agreed this is clearly a significant issue for internationalization, with relationships to the technical, translations and relationship designators group.

*Action: Renate Behrens to raise with RSC Technical WG*

**8 Cooperation agreement**

Results from the workshop.

The members further discussed the cooperation agreement, but there were no substantive objections to the proposal the way it stands right now.

**8.1 Membership of the Executive committee**

It was agreed that the addition of a Website Manager would be desirable. It was also agreed that the committee be given the power to co-opt members for specific projects or tasks or to cover for vacancies.

*Action: All members are invited to consider whether their institution would commit to maintaining EURIG Website when it migrates from the current platform. Nominations by 10th June.*

**9 EURIG Activity Plan**

**9.1 Future meetings**

The practice of meeting in person once per year will continue, but the option to have online or telephone meetings when necessary is also available and may be of particular use to the Editorial Committee.

The Chair noted that the RSC will meet in Frankfurt in 2016. EURIG is not planning any specific events, but will of course be represented in Frankfurt. Renate Behrens gave some details of the RSC meeting and satellite events:

- **RSC Meeting Frankfurt**
  
  November 2016, 7-11, plus satellite meetings.
  
  - Johannethon in collaboration with the University of Frankfurt.
  - Subject satellite meeting in collaboration with Switzerland and Austria.
  - Observers are welcome at open sessions, but must notify the RSC Secretary in advance. An announcement can be expected from RSC in the summer.

Building on the success of this years’ meeting in the Baltic, EURIG plans to meet in southern and south eastern Europe over the next two years, as these are also areas where EURIG is under
represented. It was agreed that the meetings should follow the pattern of 2016 and include a seminar and possibly a “Jane-athon”. The annual meeting would also be an opportunity for the editorial and executive committees to meet in person.

- **EURIG Members Meeting 2017**
  Fiesole, Italy - invited by Casalini Libri.
  A Doodle Poll will be sent out.

- **EURIG Members Meeting 2018**
  Members agreed to accept the invitation from Ankara University and Hacettepe University in Turkey meet in Turkey in 2018. In view of EURIG’s interest in attracting participants from South East Europe, the Balkans and the Middle East, it was agreed that Istanbul would be the preferred location.

- **Future meetings**
  The committee has a list of members, who have previously expressed an interest in hosting a future meeting, but this may be out of date, therefore expressions of interest are invited for hosting EURIG 2019.

10 **Announcements**
The Chair thanked Anita Goldberga and the National Library of Latvia on behalf of EURIG for a very well organized meeting and the excellent social program.

The Chair also thanked members for attending and for their many contributions over the three days.
Appendix 1: Attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Verena Schaffner</td>
<td>Austrian Library Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Jarmila Příbylová</td>
<td>National Library of the Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Anders Cato</td>
<td>Danish Agency for Culture and Palaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hanne Hørl Hansen</td>
<td>Danish Bibliographic Center, DBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Jane Makke</td>
<td>National Library of Estonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kadi Mälton (observer)</td>
<td>National Library of Estonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Marja-Liisa Seppälä</td>
<td>National Library of Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Françoise Leresche</td>
<td>Bibliothèque nationale de France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clément Oury</td>
<td>ISSN International Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Gabriele Meßmer</td>
<td>Bayerische Staatsbibliothek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renate Behrens</td>
<td>Deutsche Nationalbibliothek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rita Albrecht</td>
<td>Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Verbundsysteme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Ragna Steinarsdottir</td>
<td>National and University Library of Iceland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Giovanni Aldi</td>
<td>Casalini Libri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Maria Kreislere</td>
<td>National Library of Latvia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anita Goldberga</td>
<td>National Library of Latvia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Daiva Jurksaitiene</td>
<td>eLABa Consorcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linas Salelisnis (observer)</td>
<td>eLABa Consorcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Lian Wintermans</td>
<td>National Library of the Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marja Smolenaars (observer)</td>
<td>National Library of the Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Nina Berve (outgoing)</td>
<td>National Library of Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frank B. Haugen (incoming)</td>
<td>National Library of Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Leszek Śnieżko</td>
<td>NUKAT Center of Warsaw University Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Martin Krejčí</td>
<td>Slovak National Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Irenca Kavčič</td>
<td>National and University Library of Slovenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Roberto Gomez</td>
<td>National Library of Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Christian Aliverti</td>
<td>Swiss National Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thierry Clavel</td>
<td>RERO – Library Network of Western Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Alan Danskin</td>
<td>British Library</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: Report from the JSC Meeting in Edinburgh, November 2015

The outcomes from the 2015 JSC Meeting can be found at http://www.rda-rsc.org/sites/all/files/RSC-Outcomes-2015.pdf A comprehensive list of RDA changes agreed at the meeting can be found here: http://www.rda-rsc.org/node/425 As will be clear from the outcomes, the focus of the meeting was on strategic issues: Governance and internationalization; FR consolidation and LRM; flexibility and extensibility of metadata, instructions and Toolkit.

EURIG was represented at the meeting by Vice-Chair Verena Schaffner, who was also invited to attend the closed executive sessions in the capacity of an observer. This transitional arrangement was made possible by the resignation of the CILIP representative and was specific to this meeting.

Several EURIG members were present at the meeting as members of JSC or as observers. The contributions of EURIG members were reflected in many of the papers discussed, including several papers contributed by the Music Working Group in response to issues identified in the Finnish implementation.
Appendix 3: Report from the Fictitious Entities Working Group

EURIG members of the group are Richard Moore (BL) and Stephanie Zutter (National Library of Luxemburg)

The tasks for the group in 2015 were

1. Review the *RDA* treatment of fictitious persons in the context of a consolidated FRBR model which treats a fictitious person as a Name/Nome of a real Person entity and prepare a proposals/discussion paper by Aug. 3, 2015.
3. Investigate the requirements for extending the RDA treatment of fictitious agents to other RDA entities, including Work, Expression, Manifestation, and Item, and potential entities such as Place.
4. Liaise with the JSC Technical Working Group on issues of the treatment of authorities in *RDA*.
5. Liaise with the JSC Places Working Group on issue of fictitious places.

The Group prepared a paper for discussion at the JSC meeting. The JSC rejected many of the recommendations in the paper, but acknowledged that there will be a requirement to provide guidance on how to identify resources emanating from non-human entities, such as animals. These concerns were also flagged up in the RSC response to FRBR-LRM worldwide review.

The current tasks for the group are:

1. Investigate the issues raised in 6JSC/FictitiousWG/1 and the JSC and RDA community responses in the context of the FRBR-LRM and RDA instructions for identifying Persons, and prepare a proposals/discussion paper by Aug.1, 2016.
2. Investigate the requirements for extending the RDA treatment of fictitious agents to other RDA entities, including Work, Expression, Manifestation, and Item, and potential entities such as Place.
3. Liaise with the JSC Technical Working Group on issues of the treatment of authorities in *RDA*.
4. Liaise with the JSC Places Working Group on issue of fictitious places.
Appendix 4: Report from the Music Working Group

Activity of JSC/RSC Music Working Group in 2015-2016

EURIG members meeting, May 25, 2016

Membership

James Alberts (Library of Congress, USA)
Anders Cato (EURIG)
Jean Harden (University of North Texas, USA)
Mary Huismann (University of Minnesota, USA)
Damian Iseminger (New England Conservatory, USA) - chair
Kevin Kishimoto (University of Chicago, USA)
Morris Levy (Northwestern University, USA)
Daniel Paradis (Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, Canada)
Valerie Weinberg (Library of Congress, USA)
Steve Yusko (Library of Congress, USA)

Organization of the group

Shared working documents on Pbworks, plus an e-mail list and one Skype meeting.

Outcomes 2015

In 6JSC/Chair/14/2015/Rev/1, 7 tasks were assigned by the JSC to the JSC Music Working Group. RDA revision proposals and discussion proposals for the following tasks were submitted and resolved:

1. Review RDA 6.15 and develop proposals that will align the application of the instructions for use with external vocabularies of medium of performance terms, taking into account RDA 0.12 and avoiding references to specific external vocabularies. Revision proposal submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/14 and discussion paper submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/Discussion/2.

2. Review RDA 6.16.1.3.1 and investigate whether the definition of serial number can be expanded and determine if further guidance is needed for recording serial numbers. Proposal revising RDA 6.16 submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/13.

3. Investigate if a new element of Medium of Performance of the Expression is needed in RDA. Determine if the addition of this element would assist users in finding, identifying, and selecting musical works whose medium of performance is intended to change with each expression. Discussion paper submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/Discussion/3.
4. Review the instructions at RDA 6.28.1-6.28.3 and determine if any of the instructions would be better suited as instructions in RDA 6.14 or in chapters 19-20, especially as it concerns the treatment of adaptations, arrangements, and added accompaniments. Discussion paper submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/Discussion/1.

5. Investigate RDA 6.28.1.10 and determine if the method for resolving conflicts between authorized access points is too restrictive. Proposal revising RDA 6.28.1.10 and 6.28.1.10.1 submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/11.

6. Investigate 6.28.1.11 and determine if other additions to the authorized access points for compilations of musical works are necessary. Proposal submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/12

Tasks 2016 and status

Investigate music vocabularies external to RDA and determine their suitability as sources of terms for music---related elements in the RDA element set
Revise RDA 6.15 and 6.28.1.9.1, based on responses to 6JSC/MusicWG/14 and 6JSC/MusicWG/Discussion/2. Investigate the use of a Phoenix schedule when revising RDA 6.15
Revise RDA 6.28.1.1---6.28.1.8, based on responses to questions #2---#5 in 6JSC/MusicWG/Discussion/1
Prepare a discussion paper concerning performers as part of authorized access points for musical works, especially as it concerns jazz and popular music.
Review RDA 7.11. Determine if additional instructions for Recording Details of Place of Capture and Recording Details of Date of Capture are needed.
Revise the scope and definition of Date of capture in RDA 7.11.3.1 and the Glossary to allow for the recording of multiple dates that are not in a range that are associated with the content of a resource.
Determine if terms for encoding formats related to musical notation are needed in RDA, in collaboration with the Technical Working Group.
Revise the scope of Plate number for music in RDA 2.15.3.1 to better align it with the principle of representation in RDA 0.4.3.4. Determine if revisions are needed in RDA 2.15.3.3 to support the user tasks of find and identify.
Prepare position paper on music conventional collective titles for EURIG by April 15, 2016.

May 18, 2016
Anders Cato
Danish Agency for Culture and Palaces
aca@slks.dk
Appendix 5: Report from the RDA/ONIX Framework Working Group

The Group’s tasks for 2015 were:

1. Review and take forward recommendation #3 in 6JSC/ALA/Discussion/1.
   a. Recommend labels to supplement RDA controlled vocabularies for resource categorization for application in user friendly displays, in collaboration with the RDA Technical Working Group. Carried forward to 2016
2. Monitor and liaise with any further activities related to 6JSC/ALA/Discussion/1 by ALA’s Task Force on Machine-Actionable Data or its successor. Ongoing
3. Investigate the application of the ROF, and specifically the Character attribute, to the RDA element "Nature of the content" and the potential element "Nature of the work".
   a. Review the current provision for categorization of resources expressed through movement, taking into account the CCC response to Q1 in 6JSC/LC rep/4.
4. Create guidelines and explanations on proposing new terms in the RDA carrier type, content type, and media type value vocabularies using qualified ROF categories, based on 6JSC/ROFWG/2, by Apr. 30, 2015. Done. See:

   http://www.rda-jsc.org/sites/all/files/6JSC-ROFWG-3-Categories.pdf

From March 2016 the Group is Chaired by Alan Danskin (BL) and Françoise Leresche (BNF) is also a member.

The current tasks are:

1. Review and take forward recommendation #3 in 6JSC/ALA/Discussion/1 and partially addressed in 6JSC/ROFWG/3/Categories.
   a. Recommend labels to supplement RDA controlled vocabularies for resource categorization for application in user friendly displays, in collaboration with the RDA Technical Working Group.
2. Monitor and liaise with any further activities related to 6JSC/ALA/Discussion/1 by ALA’s Task Force on Machine-Actionable Data or its successor.
3. Investigate the application of the ROF, and specifically the Character attribute, to the RDA element "Nature of the content" and the potential element "Nature of the work".
Appendix 6: Report from JSC Technical Working Group

Activity of JSC Technical Working Group in 2015-2016

Eurig members meeting, May 25, 2016

Membership
- Gordon Dunsire (Chair)
- John Attig (former ALA representative to the JSC)
- Gordon Dunsire (JSC)
- Deborah Fritz (TMQ)
- Sarah Hartmann (Deutsche Nationalbibliothek)
- Diane Hillmann (MMA)
- Ricardo Santos Muñoz (Biblioteca Nacional de España/EURIG)
- Marja-Liisa Seppälä (National Library of Finland/EURIG)

Organization of the group
The shared working documents on Google Drive.
The e-mail list.

Outcomes 2015
The group submitted 2 discussion papers:
- 6JSC/TechnicalWG/5 (RDA models for authority data):
  - general recommendations how to accommodate authority data in RDA with help of concept models
  - about nomen, appellation and authorized access point
- 6JSC/TechnicalWG/6 (RDA accommodation of relationship data):
  - general recommendations how related entities are identified in relationship data
  - about unstructured description, structured description, authorized access point and identifier

Tasks 2016 and status
1. Review and update the RDA Element analysis documentation in 5JSC/RDA/Element analysis/Rev/3. **Ongoing: Revised Element analysis table has been published April 12, 2016.**
2. Monitor the need for value vocabulary representations of the RDA Toolkit relationship elements and designators, following recommendation #7 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3 (RDF representation of RDA relationship designators). **Ongoing**
3. Investigate the issue of "cataloguer-friendly" and "user-friendly" labels in metadata based on the FRBR/FRAD models using the RDA Element set and Relationship designators, following recommendation #10 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3 and the responses from BL and DNB. **Ongoing**
4. Explore the issues related to “statements” as aggregates of RDA elements and make proposals based on findings. **Ongoing**
5. Monitor the development of general models for provenance and other meta-metadata and prepare proposals/discussion papers on their application to RDA \textbf{by Aug. 1, 2016. Ongoing}

6. Investigate how RDA accommodates data for inverse relationships. \textbf{New task}

7. Investigate how RDA accommodates relationships between instances of different entities, in collaboration with the RSC relationship Designators Working Group and prepare a proposals/discussion paper \textbf{by Aug. 1, 2016. New task}

8. \textbf{Follow up} recommendations in 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2
   a. Treat note on issue, part, or iteration used as the basis for identification of the resource, note on title and note on series statement, as meta-elements and apply the recommendations of 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1 as appropriate.
   b. Ensure the semantics of the data model of Figure 3 is applied to Note on ... elements in the RDA Registry, and ensure the RDA instructions clarify the relationship between note on ... elements and their root elements, in collaboration with the RDA Development team.
   c. Change the names of the elements as given in Table 4, in collaboration with the RDA Development Team.

9. \textbf{Follow up} recommendations in 6JSC/TechnicalWG/5
   a. Investigate the representation of sub-types of nomen as element sub-types of the appellation element, following recommendation #1.
   b. Review and develop appropriate RDA elements for compatibility with appellation-nomen model by assigning element sub-types and ranges, recommendation #2.
   c. Consider adding the RDA elements family name and given name as sub-elements of name of the person, recommendation #3a, noting that recommendation #3b will be carried out by the RDA Development Team, and prepare a proposal paper \textbf{by Aug. 1, 2016.}
   d. Investigate the functionality and utility of “preferred” forms of appellation element sub-types in relation to RDA and application profiles in the context of the appellation-nomen model, recommendation #4b.

10. Investigate whether a structured description can be applied only to a manifestation, and what elements are suitable for inclusion in a structured description for each WEMI entity.
    a. \textbf{Follow up} on 6JSC/ALA/41 in collaboration with the RSC Aggregates Working Group

11. Review the encoding format element and recommend revisions in collaboration with the RDA Development Team. \textbf{New task}

12. Investigate issues in other designation ... elements. \textbf{New task}

13. Investigate issues of corporate body and place in RDA, and accommodation for “online” as a value for location of conference, etc. \textbf{New task}

May 17, 2016
Marja-Liisa Seppälä
The National Library of Finland
marja-liisa.seppala@helsinki.fi
Appendix 7: Report from the Capitalization Working Group

Activity of JSC/RSC Capitalization Working Group in 2015-2016

EURIG members meeting, May 25, 2016

Membership

Sue Andrews (University of British Columbia, Canada)
Carlo Bianchini (University of Pavia, Italy)
Anders Cato (EURIG)
Ageo García (Tulane University, USA)
Mauro Guerrini (University of Florence, Italy)
Anita Krawalski (Deutsche Nationalbibliothek, Germany)
Pat Riva (Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, Canada) - chair
Marja-Liisa Seppälä (National Library of Finland)
Marja Smolenaars (National Library of the Netherlands)
Larisa Walsh (University of Chicago Libraries, USA)

Organization of the group

E-mails between group members

Tasks and Outcomes 2015-2016

The Working Group has a single task, detailed in three sub-tasks:

1. Review the content and coverage of RDA Appendix A and prepare a proposal/discussion paper by Aug. 3, 2015.

   1.1. Review the instructions on capitalization of RDA elements (A.0-A.9) to ensure these are complete.

   1.2. Propose possible paths for presenting the language-specific capitalization instructions in a way that is useful for cataloguers working in the translations of RDA as well as those working in English.

   1.3. Identify updates needed in specific languages, where the relevant expertise for that language is available.

The Working Group's discussion paper focused on presenting options relating to task #1.2, for which feedback is desired. The discussion paper also includes status of some preliminary investigations for tasks #1.1 and #1.3.

For 2016, following the guidance received, the Working Group expects to prepare a model for the future presentation of capitalization instructions for use with RDA, as well as to bring its investigations of the content of the instructions themselves to a more concrete level.

May 18, 2016
Anders Cato
Danish Agency for Culture and Palaces
aca@slks.dk
Appendix 8: Photo:
EURIG Members’ Meeting, Riga, Latvia, 23. May 2016