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The meeting was chaired by Verena Schaffner, Austrian Library Network.

Welcome and introductions
Marie-Christine Doffey, director of the Swiss National Library, and Verena Schaffner welcomed the participating EURIG representatives.

Minutes of the previous meeting and matters arising
The minutes were accepted without changes. The Secretary will finalise the minutes and post them to the EURIG website. The action points from the 2014 meeting were also discussed.

ACTION: Lian Wintermans
Since we had no nominations for the Executive Committee, members were asked to think about nominations during the session. Alan Danskin (Vice Chair) noted that terms were deliberately restricted to two years in the EURIG co-operation agreement in order that the burden of managing EURIG can be shared among member institutions.

26 delegates representing 24 members from 18 countries attended the meeting. An observer from the Czech National Library also attended as a guest. See Appendix 1 for a list of attendees.

There were no changes to the agenda.

Membership update
The Chair welcomed two new members: Thierry Clavel, representing RERO, the library network for the French speaking part of Switzerland, and Stephanie Zutter, representing the National Library of Luxemburg. She also welcomed Nevzat Özel (Ankara University) and Tolga Çakmak (Hacettepe University) who were attending for the first time.

Three members have new representatives. The Danish Agency for Culture is now represented by Anders Cato, the National Library of Sweden by Katarina Synnermark and the International ISSN Centre by Clément Oury.

Some representatives were unable to attend and were represented by alternates: Hallfríður Kristjánsdóttir represented the National Library of Iceland; Laura Gigli represented Casalini Libri and Renate Behrens (DNB) represented both Deutsche Nationalbibliotek and Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Verbundsysteme.

As well as introducing themselves, all delegates gave a brief update on the status of RDA in their country or institution and plans for implementation and translation.

ACTION: ALL to include the updates in the "EURIG Wiki".

EURIG strategy
EURIG members discussed how to prioritise and plan future activities. The following questions were used as a starting point for the discussion:
- Are there JSC proposals or discussion papers EURIG would like to respond to?
- Do we need EURIG working groups?
- Do we need other ways of working, e.g. more meetings, or video/telephone/web conferences?
It was agreed that the most effective way to influence RDA development is to be a member of the relevant JSC Working Group. EURIG will therefore seek to nominate members to JSC Working Groups in preference to establishing its own Working Groups. EURIG nominees to JSC WGs would value more support from EURIG members. WG members are nominated for their expertise, rather than as representatives of EURIG. It was also noted that the timescales for consultation are short and that the solution must be flexible and agile.

Members agreed to set up a support network or sounding board, for each JSC WG. These would be volunteers to whom the nominees could turn for advice or endorsement. Alan Danskin emphasized that members also gain from participating in working groups. The future of the EURIG Aggregates Working Group was reviewed, the need for the group has been superseded by the formation of JSC’s Working Group on Aggregate Entities, whose membership includes Franoise Leresche (BNF) and Alan Danskin (BL). Actions assigned to the EURIG WG at the 2014 meeting are still outstanding. As these relate more generally to the expression, the need for a Working Group on Expressions was discussed. Since Expression is an FRBR Entity, members asked whether it should be better to wait for the FRBR consolidated edition. Franoise Leresche replied that consolidation will not affect Group 1 entities. JSC made practical decisions when translating the FRBR model into RDA, which are barriers to implementation in France and perhaps in other countries. It was agreed that Alan Danskin will coordinate setting up this group. Roberto Gómez indicated that Spain is interested in this topic too.

**ACTION:** Alan Danskin to draft ToR and canvass for members.

EURIG members agreed that internationalization of RDA is the main focus of EURIG. They felt a need to prioritize what is most important for EURIG when it comes to internationalization. Members were asked to brainstorm during the next year about the most important topics, so that EURIG can set its priorities at the next meeting. The Executive Committee will set up a list or document where members can add topics that are important for their own organization or country.

**ACTION:** Executive Committee to set up a Wiki site; ALL to contribute.

**EURIG response to RDA Governance Structure**

Verena Schaffner summarized the EURIG response to the RDA Governance Structure review, and thanked members for their contributions to the EURIG response.

**JSC schedule and JSC Working Principle**

Alan Danskin noted that the JSC schedule for 2015 is:

- Aug. 3: deadline for JSC papers
- Oct. 3: deadline for responses
- Nov. 2-6: JSC meeting in Edinburgh

Changes agreed at the 2014 JSC meeting were published in the RDA Update, released on April 14th. Full details are in the Update History in the Toolkit. There is also a Summary of Outcomes for specific papers.

Alan introduced some highlights updates from the April 2015 update of the RDA Toolkit.

- See the Chair’s presentation, p. 21-24.
- RDA has now incorporated “subjects” (there were a lot of placeholders for this topic). “Subject” is not seen as an entity, but as a relationship. There is a new chapter (23) and a new appendix (M) on subject relationships.
- The Music WG has done a lot of work to simplify and align music instructions with the general instructions in RDA.

Alan also reported on the changes of the JSC working principle. The IFLA FR consolidation will have a lot of impact on RDA. Therefore there is a moratorium on short
term changes, such as numbering, and a greater focus on a broader range of entities, such as places and timespan and date ranges. There is also a preference for relationships to attributes.
The volume of changes in the 2014 Update was particularly high and JSC recognizes that this is a significant overhead, particularly for those maintaining translations. Fewer proposals are expected this year, but they will be more strategic ones.

**JSC Working Groups**

*Reports from JSC Working Groups*

Members of existing JSC Working Groups reported to EURIG.

Anders Cato reported on the work of the [JSC Working Group on Music](#).

Several EURIG members (Finland, Great Britain, Italy, France, Germany) indicated that they will nominate colleagues for the EURIG support network for this JSC Working Group.

**ACTION:** Renate Behrens, Alan Danskin, Laura Gigli, Françoise Leresche, Marja-Liisa Seppälä [Note BL: Caroline Shaw].

Christian Aliverti reported on the [JSC Working Group on Places](#). The group has a new chair: Gordon Dunsire. There has been a lot of discussion about the definition of places (geographic and/or jurisdiction).

The National Library of Luxemburg and Nevzat Özel volunteered for supporting Christian; Verena Schaffner and Alan Danskin will ask colleagues for the support network.

**ACTION:** Alan Danskin, Verena Schaffner.

Françoise Leresche reported on the [RDA/ONIX framework WG](#).

Lian Wintermans and Renate Behrens will ask colleagues to volunteer for the support network. It was noted that there is no specific need for knowledge of ONIX, it is knowledge of metadata that is needed.

**ACTION:** Renate Behrens, Lian Wintermans.

Marja-Liisa Seppälä reported on the [JSC Technical Working Group](#).

Alan Danskin, Lian Wintermans and the Turkish EURIG members will ask colleagues for support for this WG.

**ACTION:** Alan Danskin, Lian Wintermans, Nevzat Özel and/or Tolga Çakmak [Note: BL Corine Deliot].

The reports can be found in Appendix 2.

**New JSC Working Groups**

The JSC has established working groups for the following topics: aggregates, capitalization, fictitious entities, and relationship designators.

Françoise Leresche will be the EURIG representative in the [JSC Working Group on Aggregates](#), which will produce a discussion paper. Pilar Tejero and members of the EURIG WG on Expressions can support Françoise.

Anders Cato will be the EURIG representative in the [JSC Working Group on Capitalization](#). Marja-Liisa Seppälä represents Finland, Lian Wintermans nominated Marja Smolenaars to represent the Dutch language. They can also support Anders as a EURIG representative.

The [JSC Working Group on Fictitious Entities](#) will review the RDA treatment of fictitious persons (later also: corporate bodies and families). Stefanie Zutter volunteered to represent EURIG in this working group. Alan Danskin will support her as well as the British Library member of the working group. Verena Schaffner will ask a colleague to support Stefanie.
**ACTION:** Verena Schaffner.

Maira Kreislere volunteered to represent EURIG in the *JSC Working Group on Relationship Designators*. Hanne Hørl Hansen and Roberto Gómez Prada will support her. All members were asked to think about giving support to these EURIG representatives in the JSC Working Groups.

**ACTION:** ALL.

### How to organise in EURIG?

Next year EURIG will review whether this model of supporting EURIG representatives works.

### GoogleSite – a collaborative workspace for EURIG?

Verena Schaffner demonstrated the "EURIG Wiki" that the Executive Committee had set up. It is set up with Verena's private email account, but we can transfer it to the EURIG Google account.

Members agreed that it could be useful for working together on proposals/responses and for sharing information. They also agreed that we need pages for every working group. Lian Wintermans noted that it is probably not suitable for confidential information, and that you do not need to use your private Gmail account for it, since you can set up a Google account for your work mail address and use that to log into the EURIG wiki.

**ACTION:** Executive Committee, to transfer account.

### Internationalization

#### Specialist communities

Alan Danskin introduced this topic. RDA, like AACR2, tries to cover everything, but is not necessarily detailed enough for specialist communities. What is the best way to handle best practices for specialist communities? Do we need separate application profiles for every language community, or can we find a way to integrate best practices independent of language community?

The German-speaking countries are coming from a cataloguing tradition that provides several manuals for special materials (e.g. music, rare books, non-book-materials etc.)

Following the approach of RDA, the German-speaking countries will give up this tradition with the implementation of RDA at the end of 2015. To describe those materials sufficiently the Working Group RDA where all the 16 partners of the German-speaking countries are represented, has worked out policy statements in any case RDA provides at the status quo no sufficient possibility to describe those materials. This had been necessary not only for the cataloguers, but also to ensure an efficient data exchange.

In Switzerland libraries already use DCRM and CM, which causes problems when implementing RDA because these are still based on AACR2. In the British Library DCRM is still used for descriptive cataloguing of rare books, while RDA is used for the access points.

The problem is not yet solved in the Anglo-American world, but various approaches are being explored in discussion with different communities, including RBMS, OLAC and MLA in North America. Adding policy statements to the toolkit would be possible solution, but how should this be internationalized?

In the German speaking countries there is interest in RDA and FRBR from specialist communities, including Museums and Archives. The British Library is starting an internal dialogue between its cataloguing communities.
According to Olle Johansson the Swedish audio-visual community based their rules on AACR2 and they would like to retain some of their own rules, e.g. on the source of information (Swedish rule: use the best source in the published package).
In France there are special groups for graphic and for cartographic materials, and for music. For early printed books a specific set of rules is used and this class of material was excluded from the RDA review.
**Action:** Alan Danskin to report back on discussions with RBMS.

**Meaning of jurisdiction**

In the JSC Technical Working Group the question arose what "jurisdiction" exactly means. RDA usage is not very clear, and the working group came up with recommendations for clarification.

It appeared that ambiguities also exist in Switzerland and in the German speaking world. Other terms that are equally difficult were noted, such as "government" and "court". And it is not only a matter of language, but also of culture. A similar problem applies to religious bodies: we do not want rules for every religion. It was noted that we probably need to work with policy statements, which implies that the rules need to be more generic.

The National Library of Luxemburg agreed to this, and in Spain they experience similar problems. There were also complications with this for the Finnish. Lian Wintermans will ask how this was in the Netherlands.
**ACTION:** Lian Wintermans.

**Report on Jane-athon 2015**

Alan reported on the Jane-athon 2015 at the ALA midwinter meeting (see for more information: [http://rdatoolkit.org/janeathon](http://rdatoolkit.org/janeathon)). The Jane-athon was a hackathon using RIMMF to create RDA records without MARC 21. The database (or R-Ball) was primed with 75 records. Delegates brought their own resources to catalogue and added about 700 RDA records to the “R-Ball”. Further events are planned, in Edinburgh and San Francisco. Jane-athons are a good way to expose people to the potential of RDA. Roberto Gómez indicated that in Spain they want to organize something similar. Alan also demonstrated RIMMF.

**Election of the Committee**

In the absence of any alternative nominations:
Alan Danskin (BL) was appointed Chair and Verena Schaffner was appointed Vice-Chair. Lian’s term as secretary had expired and KB was no longer willing to resource this role. Therefore Anders Cato was appointed to replace Lian Wintermans.
Alan noted that the committee terms will expire in 2017 and that neither BL nor ALN would be willing to continue their commitments, therefore new officers will be required.

**Workflows in the RDA Toolkit**

Alan Danskin gave a presentation of BL workflows for cataloguers in the RDA Toolkit. Under the "Tools" tab there is an option for users to contribute content to the Toolkit, e.g. mappings and workflows. User content is contributed under a CC-BY license and can be made available to all users.
In Germany it is more difficult, because the workflows are format based, and not everyone uses the same format.
Verena Schaffner was concerned by managing updates in RDA, because they have a lot of policy statements. First they need to update the German version of RDA and then to analyze the impact of the changes on the policy statements.
EURIG activity plan

Verena Schaffner included a "to do" list in her presentation (p. 72-75), based on actions agreed on in this meeting.

EURIG Members Meeting 2016

The Executive Committee received invitations for the 2016 meeting from the National Library of Latvia and from Casalini Libri. Members agreed that the next meeting will be in Riga, Latvia, in May 2016.

Announcements, etc.

There were no announcements.

Close

The chair closed the meeting at 16.15 and thanked members for their participation.
# Appendix 1: Attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Contact Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Austrian Library Network</td>
<td>Verena Schaffner (Chair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>National Library</td>
<td>Jarmila Pribylova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Danish Agency for Culture</td>
<td>Anders Cato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Danish Bibliographic Center</td>
<td>Hanne Hørl Hansen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>National Library of Finland</td>
<td>Marja-Liisa Seppälä</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>ABES</td>
<td>Philippe Le Pape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Bibliothèque nationale de France</td>
<td>Françoise Leresche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>ISSN International Centre</td>
<td>Clément Oury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Verbundsysteme &amp; Deutsche Nationalbibliothek</td>
<td>Renate Behrens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>British Library</td>
<td>Alan Danskin (Vice-chair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>National and University Library of Iceland</td>
<td>Hallfríður Kristjánsdóttir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Casalini Libri</td>
<td>Laura Gigli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>National Library of Latvia</td>
<td>Anita Goldberga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>National Library of Latvia</td>
<td>Maira Kreislere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>Bibliothèque nationale de Luxembourg</td>
<td>Stefanie Zutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>National Library of the Netherlands</td>
<td>Lian Wintermans (Secretary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>National Library of Norway</td>
<td>Nina Berve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>NUKAT</td>
<td>Leszek Śnieżko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>National and University Library</td>
<td>Irena Kavčič</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Biblioteca National de España</td>
<td>Roberto Gómez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>National Library of Sweden</td>
<td>Olle Johansson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>National Library of Sweden</td>
<td>Katarina Synnermark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>RERO</td>
<td>Thierry Clavel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Swiss National Library</td>
<td>Christian Aliverti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Swiss National Library</td>
<td>Karin von Wartburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Ankara University / University and Research Librarians Association in Turkey</td>
<td>Nevzat Özel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Hacettepe University</td>
<td>Tolga Çakmak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: Reports from JSC Working Groups

JSC Working Group on Music
The report is included in the Chair’s presentation, p. 29-33.

JSC Working Group on Places
The report is available at the EURIG website.

RDA/ONIX framework WG
The report is included in the Chair’s presentation, p. 35-39.

JSC Technical Working Group
Report by Marja-Liisa Seppälä:

Terms of reference for the group were published on January 9, 2014. The group is charged to assist the JSC in developing RDA in technical areas such as models, structures and standards.

Membership and tasks 2015

Chair
- Gordon Dunsire

Membership
- John Attig (former ALA representative to the JSC)
- Gordon Dunsire (JSC)
- Deborah Fritz (TMQ)
- Sarah Hartmann (Deutsche Nationalbibliothek)
- Diane Hillmann (MMA)
- Ricardo Santos Muñoz (Biblioteca Nacional de España/EURIG)
- Marja-Liisa Seppälä (National Library of Finland/EURIG)

Tasks
2. Monitor the need for value vocabulary representations of the RDA Toolkit relationship elements and designators, following recommendation #7 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3 (RDF representation of RDA relationship designators).
3. Investigate the issue of "cataloguer-friendly" and "user-friendly" labels in metadata based on the FRBR/FRAD models using the RDA Element set and Relationship designators, following recommendation #10 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3 and the responses from BL and DNB.
4. Explore the issues related to “statements” as aggregates of *RDA* elements and make proposals based on findings.

5. Monitor the development of general models for provenance and other meta-metadata and prepare proposals/discussion papers on their application to RDA in due course. (New task)


7. Prepare a discussion paper as follow-up to DNB/Discussion/3 by Aug. 3, 2015 (Hidden relationships in attributes). (New task)

8. Investigate and prepare proposals or discussion papers on how RDA accommodates relationship data as unstructured data, structured textual notes, authorized access points, and identifiers (including linked data) by Aug. 3, 2015. (New task)

### Outcomes 2014

The group submitted 4 proposal documents:

- **6JSC/TechnicalWG/1**: Meta-metadata elements in RDA (to make more consistent the use of meta-elements such as *cataloger’s note* and *source consulted*).
- **6JSC/TechnicalWG/2**: Note and related elements in RDA (to clarify the difference and naming of elements *details of*, *note on* and other meta-elements).
- **6JSC/TechnicalWG/3**: High-level subject relationship in RDA (to improve the coherency of RDA elements and relationship designators associated with concept of subject).
- **6JSC/TechnicalWG/4**: Court and Jurisdiction in RDA (to clarify the use of the terms *court* and *jurisdiction* in the context of corporate body and place).

### Status of Tasks

   **Status**: ongoing.

2. Monitor the need for value vocabulary representations of the *RDA Toolkit* relationship elements and designators, following recommendation #7 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3.
   **Status**: ongoing. Monitoring of development in related standards.

3. Investigate the issue of “cataloguer-friendly” and “user-friendly” labels in metadata based on the FRBR/FRAD models using the *RDA* Element set and Relationship designators, following recommendation #10 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3 and the responses from BL and DNB.
   **Status**: ongoing. Preliminary discussions about the need for generic approaches to the labels and for a high-level policy.

4. Review the relationship between the *RDA* elements "court" and "jurisdiction" and the FRBR entity "Corporate body", and the definitions of the relationship designators "appellant", "appellee", "court governed", "enacting jurisdiction", "jurisdiction governed"
and any other relevant designators, following recommendation #3 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3/Appendix 5.

**Status**: completed by 6JSC/TechnicalWG/4.

5. Disambiguate the labels for the relationship designators "founded corporate body" and "sponsored corporate body" using the FRBR/FRAD entity, following recommendation #10 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3/Appendix 5.

**Status**: completed. Folded into the re-organization of Appendix K.

6. Explore the issues related to “statements” as aggregates of RDA elements and make proposals based on findings.

**Status**: ongoing. Informal discussion between members of the group.

7. Review the various needs for data about data and missing elements noted in 6JSC/Chair/9 and make proposals based on findings.

**Status**: completed by 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1 and 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2.

**Additional task:**
Proposal for the high-level modelling of subject in RDA.

**Status**: completed by 6JSC/TechnicalWG/3.

**Organization of the group**
The shared working documents on Google Drive.
The private e-mail list.
The group has had a couple of online meetings in 2014.

**Challenges of the group**
The tasks are numerous.
It is challenging to work mainly by commenting on the documents on Google Drive.
Online meetings are challenging if you are not familiar with all the background information.
After a discussion paper or a proposal has been published on the JSC website, observing JSC’s commenting process could be demanding and time consuming and unfortunately often missed because of other pressing issues.

**Further information**

Marja-Liisa Seppälä
The National Library of Finland
marja-liisa.seppala@helsinki.fi
Appendix 3: British Library workflows

The BL has created workflows for its main content streams, which provide a "narrative" for application of RDA. There are workflows for the following topics: monographs, serials, sheet music, cartographic materials, and there is a document on authority control. They tried not to duplicate information in the workflows, but some duplication is inevitable. The workflows guide the cataloguers through RDA and link to MARC and BL policies. You can add contents pages and text, including links to RDA text and BL policies (MARC examples are included in the text of the BL workflows).

People at the BL like it and use it. The monograph workflow is the most heavily used page in the Toolkit. The workflow documents were also used during the training. All usual search options also work with the workflows, and you can also limit searches to a specific workflow. There are global and local workflows, and even personal workflows/versions are possible). Other institutions in the UK have reused and adapted the workflows. RDA updates are not automatically reflected in the workflows, so maintenance is an overhead, but currently considered worthwhile.
## Appendix 4: Action points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What?</th>
<th>Who?</th>
<th>When?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finalise AM 2014 minutes and post them to EURIG website</td>
<td>Lian Wintermans</td>
<td>ASAP Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write overview of the outcomes of this meeting</td>
<td>Alan Danskin</td>
<td>ASAP Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include update on status of RDA and plans for implementation / translation in EURIG wiki</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft ToR for WG on Expressions and canvass for members</td>
<td>Alan Danskin</td>
<td>ASAP Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set up list to brainstorm about the most important topics when it comes to internationalization</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>8th August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribute to brainstorm about the most important topics when it comes to internationalization</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>During next year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact expert(s) to support EURIG representative in JSC WG on Music</td>
<td>Renate Behrens, Alan Danskin, Laura Gigli, Françoise Leresche, Marja-Liisa Seppälä</td>
<td>ASAP Partly done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact expert(s) to support EURIG representative in JSC WG on Places</td>
<td>Alan Danskin, Verena Schaffner</td>
<td>ASAP Partly done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact expert(s) to support EURIG representative in JSC RDA/ONIX framework WG</td>
<td>Renate Behrens, Lian Wintermans</td>
<td>ASAP Partly done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact expert(s) to support EURIG representative in JSC Technical WG</td>
<td>Alan Danskin, Nevzat Özel and/or Tolga Çakmak, Lian Wintermans</td>
<td>ASAP Partly done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact expert(s) to support EURIG representative in JSC WG on Fictitious Entities</td>
<td>Verena Schaffner</td>
<td>ASAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think about giving support to EURIG representatives in JSC WGs</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>15th June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer account EURIG wiki to EURIG Google account</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Within 4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add pages for JSC/EURIG working groups to EURIG wiki</td>
<td>Verena Schaffner</td>
<td>15th June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report back on discussions with RBMS</td>
<td>Alan Danskin</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report back on RDA implementation of “jurisdiction” etc. in Netherlands</td>
<td>Lian Wintermans</td>
<td>During next year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Websites for the JSC WG and nominations for consultants</td>
<td>Verena Schaffner (websites), ALL (consultants)</td>
<td>Within 4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments on JSC proposals (websites)</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>During May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update responses on RDA implementation issues (EURIG wiki)</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notify Gordon about new JSC WG members from EURIG</td>
<td>Alan Danskin</td>
<td>ASAP Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What ?</td>
<td>Who ?</td>
<td>When ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint pseudonyms in RDA</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>By the end of May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work on carrier type vs. mode of access for electronic resources paper</td>
<td>Francoise Leresche &amp; Clement Oury</td>
<td>By the end of 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide examples of carrier type vs. mode of access for electronic resources</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>By the end of 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrange for takeover of administration to the new Executive Committee</td>
<td>Executive Committee (old and new)</td>
<td>By the end of May <em>done</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>