

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

From: Bill Leonard, CCC representative

Subject: **Revisions to RDA Chapter 6 to treat "Selections" as a work attribute**

CCC welcomes the changes proposed in 6JSC/LC/20 and thanks LC for giving consideration to CCC comments on the 2011 discussion paper.

Change 1: Deletion of 6.12.1.4
Agree.

Change 2: Revision of 6.23.2.9.7 (Other Selections)
Agree.

Change 3: Revision to 6.23.2.10.3 (Selections)
Agree.

Change 4: Deletion of 6.25.1.5
Agree.

Change 5: Revision of 6.27.2.3 (Two or More Parts, Alternative)
Agree.

Change 6: Revision to 6.23.2.10.3 (Selections)
Agree.

Change 7: Revision of 6.30.2.2 (Part or Parts of a Sacred Scripture, Alternative)
Agree.

Change 8: Revision of 6.14.2.7.2 (Two or More Parts)

CCC does not agree with revision 8. CCC has proposed different wording intended to abolish the distinction between consecutively numbered parts and unnumbered or non-consecutively numbered parts for musical works. In 6JSC/CCC/7 we propose the wording: When identifying two or more parts of a work ...

We also note that the words Selections and suite should be italicized in the proposed text.